

5/15/79 [1]

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 5/15/79
[1]; Container 116

To See Complete Finding Aid:

http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff_Secretary.pdf

WITHDRAWAL SHEET (PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARIES)

FORM OF DOCUMENT	CORRESPONDENTS OR TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
memo	<p>From Owen to The President (3 pp.) re: economic measures to support the Middle East Peace Process/ enclosed in Hutcheson to Brzezinski 5/15/79</p> <p><i>Opened per RAC NLC-126-17-9-1-3, 10/24/13</i></p>	5/9/79	A
memo w/att	<p>From Brzezinski to The President (3 pp.) re: rebasing of ICEM Force/enclosed in Hutcheson to Brzezinski 5/15/79</p>	5/15/79	A

FILE LOCATION

Cartier Presidential Papers- Staff Offices, Office of the Staff Sec.- Pres. Handwriting File
5/15/79 [1] BOX 130

RESTRICTION CODES

- (A) Closed by Executive Order 12356 governing access to national security information.
- (B) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
- (C) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in the donor's deed of gift.

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE

Tuesday - May 15, 1979

8:15 Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski - The Oval Office.

9:30 Mr. Frank Moore - The Oval Office.

11:00 Press Announcement - Mental Health Systems Act.
(5 min.) W.H. Press Briefing Room.

11:15 Meeting with the Georgia Congressional
(20 min.) Delegation. (Mr. Frank Moore) - Cabinet Room.

12:00 GREETINGS/PHOTOGRAPHS - The Oval Office.
(30 min.)

12:30 Lunch with Mrs. Rosalynn Carter and Senator
(60 min.) and Mrs. Daniel P. Moynihan - The Oval Office.

1:30 Mr. James McIntyre - The Oval Office.
(20 min.)

2:15 Drop-By Briefing for Community Leaders/Windfall
(15 min.) Profits Tax. (Ms. Anne Wexler) - The East Room.

7:30 SALT Briefing for Senators. (Mr. Frank Moore).
(90 min.) The East Room.

2039

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

15 May 79

Hamilton Jordan
Anne Wexler
Zbig Brzezinski

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox today
and is forwarded to you for
your information.

Rick Hutcheson

The original has been given
to stripping for mailing.

cc: Stripping



FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

to stripping

ACTION
FYI

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

VICE PRESIDENT
EIZENSTAT
JORDAN
KRAFT
LIPSHUTZ
MOORE
POWELL
WATSON
WEXLER
BRZEZINSKI
MCINTYRE
SCHULTZE

ARAGON
BOURNE
BUTLER
H. CARTER
CLOUGH
COSTANZA
CRUIKSHANK
FALLOWS
FIRST LADY
GAMMILL
HARDEN
HUTCHESON
JAGODA
LINDER
MITCHELL
MOE
PETERSON
PETTIGREW
PRESS
RAFSHOON
SCHNEIDERS
VOORDE
WARREN
WISE

ADAMS
ANDRUS
BELL
BERGLAND
BLUMENTHAL
BROWN
CALIFANO
HARRIS
KREPS
MARSHALL
SCHLESINGER
STRAUSS
VANCE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

rick--

don't know who/what
may have suggested attached,
if anyone.

however, would appreciate
having copies sent to
whoever needs (ZB, Phil,?)...
and having someone call
to get spencer's address
(probably have in central
files)...then sending out
through stripping desk.

thanks-- susan

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 15, 1979

To President Spencer Kimball

As you know, our country is now completing seven years of negotiations on a SALT II treaty with the Soviet Union. It is now planned that the treaty will be signed next month in Austria by me and President Brezhnev.

Because of the active role that Mormons play in the life of our country and because of your historic commitment and contribution to world peace, I would personally welcome the opportunity - if you deem it appropriate - to provide a briefing to your church leadership on SALT II and its importance to our nation and to the cause of peace.

Respectfully,

Jimmy Carter

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

15 May 79

Stu Eizenstat

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox today
and is forwarded to you for
appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

The First Lady
Hamilton Jordan
Tim Kraft
Jack Watson
Jerry Rafshoon
Phil Wise
Fran Voorde



FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

ACTION
FYI

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

VICE PRESIDENT
✓ EIZENSTAT
✓ JORDAN
✓ KRAFT
LIPSHUTZ
MOORE
POWELL
✓ WATSON
WEXLER
BRZEZINSKI
MCINTYRE
SCHULTZE

ARAGON
BOURNE
BUTLER
H. CARTER
CLOUGH
COSTANZA
CRUIKSHANK
FALLOWS
✓ FIRST LADY
GAMMILL
HARDEN
HUTCHESON
JAGODA
LINDER
MITCHELL
MOE
PETERSON
PETTIGREW
PRESS
✓ RAFSHOON
SCHNEIDERS
✓ VOORDE
WARREN
✓ WISE

ADAMS
ANDRUS
BELL
BERGLAND
BLUMENTHAL
BROWN
CALIFANO
HARRIS
KREPS
MARSHALL
SCHLESINGER
STRAUSS
VANCE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Mr. President:

The First Lady, Rafshoon,
Kraft and Watson concur.

Rick

Mr. President:

I think you're looking at
july or august for this event.

Phil

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 2, 1979

J

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: STU EIZENSTAT *Stu*
STEVE SIMMONS *Steve*
SUBJECT: White House Reception for
Presidential Appointees

There are a large number of high administration officials throughout the government appointed by you and confirmed by the Senate who have never met you or spent any time at the White House. Some have mentioned to us how much they would enjoy coming here. We recommend that, as a means of showing your appreciation and making them feel even more a part of the Carter Administration team, you hold an evening reception at the White House this Summer for these individuals. We believe that appointees down through the Assistant Secretary level confirmed by the Senate should be invited (about 600 appointees), and Deputy Assistant Secretaries as well (about 50 more). Such a reception would help maintain high morale, demonstrate your personal concern and appreciation, and be politically advantageous.

Tim Kraft and Jack Watson concur in this recommendation.

If you agree, we will work with Tim, Jack and the Social Office in helping coordinate this event.

DECISION

- Approve White House reception for Presidential appointees (Recommended)
- Do not approve White House reception for Presidential appointees

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

ID 791755

T H E W H I T E H O U S E

WASHINGTON

DATE: 02 MAY 79

*hold hold
party next
week*

FOR ACTION: PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

*5/11 - memo from
Dun to H.S. et al;
will concur please?*

INFO ONLY: THE FIRST LADY *concur*
HAMILTON JORDAN

THE VICE PRESIDENT

JODY POWELL

ANNE WEXLER

FRANK MOORE (LES FRANCIS)

JERRY RAFSHOON *strongly concur*

SUBJECT: EIZENSTAT MEMO RE WHITE HOUSE RECEPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL
APPOINTEES

+++++
+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +
+ BY: 1200 PM FRIDAY 04 MAY 79 +
+++++

15

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

Ull

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FOR STAFFING
<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR INFORMATION
<input type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
<input type="checkbox"/>	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
<input type="checkbox"/>	NO DEADLINE
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

ACTION
FYI

<input type="checkbox"/>	ADMIN CONFID
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET
<input type="checkbox"/>	EYES ONLY

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VICE PRESIDENT
<input type="checkbox"/>	EIZENSTAT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
<input type="checkbox"/>	KRAFT
<input type="checkbox"/>	LIPSHUTZ
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MOORE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	POWELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	WATSON
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WEXLER
<input type="checkbox"/>	BRZEZINSKI
<input type="checkbox"/>	MCINTYRE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHULTZE

<input type="checkbox"/>	ARAGON
<input type="checkbox"/>	BOURNE
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUTLER
<input type="checkbox"/>	H. CARTER
<input type="checkbox"/>	CLOUGH
<input type="checkbox"/>	COSTANZA
<input type="checkbox"/>	CRUIKSHANK
<input type="checkbox"/>	FALLOWS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FIRST LADY
<input type="checkbox"/>	GAMMILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	HARDEN
<input type="checkbox"/>	HUTCHESON
<input type="checkbox"/>	JAGODA
<input type="checkbox"/>	LINDER
<input type="checkbox"/>	MITCHELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	MOE
<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSON
<input type="checkbox"/>	PETTIGREW
<input type="checkbox"/>	PRESS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RAFSHOON
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHNEIDERS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VOORDE
<input type="checkbox"/>	WARREN
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WISE

<input type="checkbox"/>	ADAMS
<input type="checkbox"/>	ANDRUS
<input type="checkbox"/>	BELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BERGLAND
<input type="checkbox"/>	BLUMENTHAL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BROWN
<input type="checkbox"/>	CALIFANO
<input type="checkbox"/>	HARRIS
<input type="checkbox"/>	KREPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	MARSHALL
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHLESINGER
<input type="checkbox"/>	STRAUSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	VANCE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Bill Re:
White House
reception for
Pres. app.

Terry strongly
concerns and
says it is
long overdue.

ID 791755

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DATE: 02 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

INFO ONLY: THE FIRST LADY

THE VICE PRESIDENT

HAMILTON JORDAN

JODY POWELL

ANNE WEXLER

FRANK MOORE (LES FRANCIS)

JERRY RAFSHOON

SUBJECT: EIZENSTAT MEMO RE WHITE HOUSE RECEPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTEES

+++++

+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +

+ BY: 1200 PM FRIDAY 04 MAY 79 +

+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

Reids,
Hold until all involved have a chance to
meet on it
Phil

ID 791755

T H E W H I T E H O U S E

WASHINGTON

DATE: 02 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

INFO ONLY: THE FIRST LADY

THE VICE PRESIDENT

HAMILTON JORDAN

JODY POWELL

ANNE WEXLER

FRANK MOORE (LES FRANCIS)

JERRY RAFSHOON

SUBJECT: EIZENSTAT MEMO RE WHITE HOUSE RECEPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTEES

+++++

+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +

+ BY: 1200 PM FRIDAY 04 MAY 79 +

+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

Randy C.

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: STU EIZENSTAT *SE*
STEVE SIMMONS *SS*
SUBJECT: White House Reception for
Presidential Appointees

There are a large number of high administration officials throughout the government appointed by you and confirmed by the Senate who have never met you or spent any time at the White House. Some have mentioned to us how much they would enjoy coming here. We recommend that, as a means of showing your appreciation and making them feel even more a part of the Carter Administration team, you hold an evening reception at the White House this Summer for these individuals. We believe that appointees down through the Assistant Secretary level confirmed by the Senate should be invited (about 600 appointees), and Deputy Assistant Secretaries as well (about 50 more). Such a reception would help maintain high morale, demonstrate your personal concern and appreciation, and be politically advantageous.

Tim Kraft and Jack Watson concur in this recommendation.

If you agree, we will work with Tim, Jack and the Social Office in helping coordinate this event.

DECISION

_____ Approve White House reception for Presidential
appointees (Recommended)

_____ Do not approve White House reception for Presidential
appointees

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
15 May 79

Zbig Brzezinski

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox today
and is forwarded to you for
appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Bob Strauss

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2002

DECLASSIFIED

Per: Rec Project

ESD

126-17-9-1-3

BY

123

10/21/13

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

DECLASSIFIED

Per, Rec Project

ESDN; NLG-12C-17-9-1-3

BY K5 NARA DATE 10/21/13

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

ACTION
FYI

VICE PRESIDENT
EIZENSTAT
JORDAN
KRAFT
LIPSHUTZ
MOORE
POWELL
WATSON
WEXLER
BRZEZINSKI
MCINTYRE
SCHULTZE

ARAGON
BOURNE
BUTLER
H. CARTER
CLOUGH
COSTANZA
CRUIKSHANK
FALLOWS
FIRST LADY
GAMMILL
HARDEN
HUTCHESON
JAGODA
LINDER
MITCHELL
MOE
PETERSON
PETTIGREW
PRESS
RAFSHOON
SCHNEIDERS
VOORDE
WARREN
WISE

ADAMS
ANDRUS
BELL
BERGLAND
BLUMENTHAL
BROWN
CALIFANO
HARRIS
KREPS
MARSHALL
SCHLESINGER
STRAUSS
VANCE

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

INFORMATION

May 9, 1979

23
ok
J

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT

FROM: HENRY OWEN *HO*

SUBJECT: Procedures for Consideration of Economic Measures to Support the Middle East Peace Process (U)

You sent me a copy of Bob Strauss' April 24 memorandum on his Middle East trade and investment mission with your notation: "Advise on how to proceed." (U)

I suggest separate procedural arrangements for the two different types of economic measures that will be up for consideration: (1) those in the field of private trade and investment relations with Egypt and Israel, and (2) those designed to achieve an immediate political objective in Phase II of the peace process. (C)

1. Most of the ideas brought back by Bob's mission are in the first category and thus fall in the province of Dick Cooper, who is the US Government's manager of joint economic commissions, including those with Egypt and Israel. He will screen and act on the feasible measures, using the mechanisms described at Tab A. Bob agrees and has already asked Cooper to serve as Chairman of a small Steering Committee composed of members of his mission. (C)

2. More important to Bob's new assignment will be the generation and application of sensible ideas regarding new economic initiatives to support the peace process. In this category may be economic incentives for any governing entities in the West Bank and/or Gaza; or a regional consultative group for Palestinian resettlement and development, eventually involving moderate Arab as well as Western donors; or a regional bank such as the Israelis suggested to Bob's delegation, if and when that idea becomes politically realistic. I am proposing that an interagency task force be created to stimulate such ideas and to ensure that sound suggestions from Bob, our diplomatic missions, Members of Congress or other sources do not die of bureaucratic anemia. Dick Cooper, Tony Solomon, Hal Saunders, Randy Jayne, the AID Administrator, and I should constitute this group, meeting frequently with Bob and reporting to him. (C)

If a full-time person to work on these subjects is required by Bob, we will assist him in finding good candidates. (U)

Bob, Zbig, and those referred to above concur.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Review on May 9, 1985

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED
 Per: Rac Project
 ESDN: HLC-126-17-1-1-3
 BY: K5 NARA DATE 10/21/13

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DECLASSIFIED

Per: Rac Project

FOLLOW-UP PROCEDURES FOR SUGGESTIONS OF
STRAUSS MISSION TO EGYPT AND ISRAEL

ESDN: ILC-126-17-9-1-3

IN 125 NARA DATE 10/21/13

General: Most of the subjects covered by the April 24 report of the Strauss mission to Egypt and Israel are in the province of the existing but somewhat dormant US-Egyptian and US-Israeli Joint Commissions, headed respectively by Secretaries Vance and Blumenthal. Dick Cooper is the US Government's manager of joint economic commissions. He will, therefore, manage follow-up to the Strauss suggestions, assisted by the Near East Bureau of State, using bilateral joint sub-commissions when appropriate. (C)

Facilitation of US Private Investment and Trade: Fred Bergsten, co-chairman of the US-Egypt financial subcommission and deputy co-chairman of the US-Israel Joint Commission, will arrange for interagency review of the several ideas in this field requiring US Government decisions and for collaboration with the two joint business councils. He or Cooper will talk with Frank Considine, US co-chairman of the US-Egyptian Business Council, on his return from Cairo. (C)

Middle East Trading Corporation: This proposal to offer US tax incentives to encourage US private investment in Egypt and Israel will be assigned to Bergsten's interagency group. (C) ?

Maximizing the Egyptian Peace Dividend: Cooper already has a State-AID working group guiding the programming or reprogramming of AID funds (not limited to the additional US aid commitment) to achieve earlier and more visible popular benefits. (C)

Trade Fairs: The Commerce representative in Bergsten's group will propose a program for discussion with the Egyptians in the joint subcommission. (C)

Advanced US Management Training: This is an agreed priority in programming additional economic aid to Egypt. AID will sound out the Israelis on whether they wish to use part of their US economic assistance for this purpose. (C)

OPIC Program Expansion: Further easing of OPIC's restrictions on operations in Israel, a high-income LDC, will be put to the OPIC Board; OPIC will intensify its promotion of investment opportunities in Egypt, using investor missions, seminars, etc. (C)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

Agricultural Assistance to Egypt, including use of Israeli technicians: Senior officials and experts from AID, USDA, the World Bank, academic institutions and agribusiness will be brought together to review what is being done by AID, the Bank, and other countries to assist Egyptian agricultural development and consider what additional measures are feasible. Israeli technicians under multilateral auspices ← earlier? may be politically acceptable in Egypt by early 1980, but bilateral Israeli aid will take longer to become acceptable. (C)

CONFIDENTIAL

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Anne Wexler

The attached was returned in the
President's outbox today and is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Stu Eizenstat
Jack Watson
Jerry Rafshoon

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Anne
FC

May 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANNE WEXLER *Anne*
STU EIZENSTAT *Stu*

SUBJECT: Energy Conservation Activities

We are continuing with the strategy of concentrating now on conserving gasoline and later emphasizing industrial conservation and preparation for the winter. Gasoline conservation now will help keep up the level of stocks to allow people to take vacations later this summer and to provide distillate for home heating this fall. In addition, we want to emphasize that, whatever public opinion might be about the existence of an energy shortage, there are people successfully taking conservation actions now and energy conservation over the last several years has made a difference.

To these ends, we are proceeding on the following five tracks:

1. Build upon the leadership of the governors in voluntarily reducing energy consumption by 5%. The National Governors Association is now organizing to coordinate state energy initiatives. Within a month, we anticipate that they will have a plan of action warranting a kickoff meeting for you with several governors. Various other associations, including the American Automobile Association, the National Restaurant Association and major league baseball, are developing a complementary series of activities on gasoline conservation. We anticipate hearing from them within two weeks. At that time, it might be appropriate for you to meet with the president of AAA and perhaps one or two others. A list of some of their ideas is attached.

2. Highlighting success stories about what Americans are doing to conserve energy. We will propose to you a series of activities to highlight tangible examples of what Americans are doing to reduce their energy consumption, particularly gasoline. You would be able to emphasize conservation themes on certain trips through either mentioning a local activity in a speech or a site visit. These activities will generally be tied into other elements of the strategy and should allow us to develop an educational program or other activities to follow up. Two ideas being explored now concern van and car pooling and energy fairs.

3. Business and industry conservation efforts. In general, business and industry have done a good job in energy conservation. However, more can still be done. We plan to go to certain key industries to see if they will establish voluntary goals for reduction of energy consumption as well as standards for measuring those reductions. If you concur, we could also develop a Presidential energy conservation award for those who achieve the most conservation within particular industries. We would appreciate your advice as to whether you are interested in pursuing this kind of a program.

} not now

APPROVE _____ DISAPPROVE _____

4. Energy conservation advisory committee. If you concur, we will develop a proposal for an energy conservation advisory committee which will build upon the industry and business efforts but also include the work being undertaken by the governors and the various associations. The advisory committee would consist of a cross section of national leaders including scientific and technical experts. Its purpose would be to lead and highlight the development of new conservation activities and support you in your conservation efforts. We would appreciate your guidance on this.

not now

{ Develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____
Do not develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

Check with Sen Percy on the remnants of the Percy-Humphrey-Kissinger et al Committee. It was a big deal.

5. EMPA legislation. The Energy Management Partnership Act (EMPA) provides funds to state and local governments for energy conservation activities. Early next week EMPA should be ready for transmittal to the Congress and the Energy Department should have identified quantitative goals for the states in accomplishing a voluntary 5% energy reduction. This combination of events is a good opportunity for you to stress energy conservation by issuing a statement and sending letters to the governors on their voluntary goals. We are preparing the necessary materials to accomplish this.

These are the early steps. Obviously, we will be proposing additional activities as we move through this early phase.

Attachment

GASOLINE CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES BEING
CONSIDERED BY OUTSIDE ASSOCIATIONS

Good

1. Reinstitution of AAA's Gas Watch Program, including mailings, newspaper, radio and television advertising, billboards, etc.
2. Letters on energy conservation from state motor vehicle commissioners to every licensed driver.
3. A national energy conservation television test.
4. A youth energy conservation corps.
5. Free energy conservation check-ups at service stations.
6. New media campaigns on car pooling and van pooling.
7. Encouragement of intrastate tourism and staggered vacation schedules.
8. A major national workshop on energy conservation.
9. Gasoline conservation educational activities and materials at major leisure parks and in all hotels, motels and restaurants.
10. Advertising at and during major league baseball games, and use of major league players.
11. A national pledge card program whereby licensed drivers pledge to reduce driving by 15 miles per week.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

STAFF COMMENTS

Jody Powell. "If we seriously expect to have gasoline shortages this summer, I would favor the President's asking for time to explain the problem and what can be done about it to the country. He should be able to do this in about 15 minutes and at the same time urge congressional action on conservation measures before them. This should be timed for the end of a month which allocations are running out so people can see what lies ahead for us.

"The speech would be extremely blunt and relatively brief. I don't have much faith in voluntary conservation programs without a strong dose of straight talk to wake people up. Even if people do not respond to the President's message, we are better off for having warned them of the consequences.

"If Congress fails to pass a standby plan and/or most of our other conservation measures we can use this speech to respond to their inaction. It would seem to me that the end of this month is our best shot -- just prior to Memorial Day."

Jerry Rafshoon. Concurs with items 1, 2 and 5. Disagrees with items 3 and 4: "A presidential energy conservation award is a little hokey - particularly for industry... We should not set up another advisory committee. We might want to get more involved with the Alliance to Save Energy."

Phil Wise concurs with Rafshoon. Any presidential activity should be part of an overall plan.

Arnie Miller indicates that he will be recommending the appointment of members of the National Alcohol Fuels Commission to be chaired by Senator Bayh. A list of members will include the President of the AAA.

Jack Watson concurs with Wexler and Eizenstat.

ID 791817

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DATE: 04 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: JODY POWELL

JACK WATSON

ARNIE MILLER

JERRY RAFSHOON

PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

JIM MCINTYRE

SUBJECT: WEXLER, EIZENSTAT RE ENERGY CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES

+++++
+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +
+ BY: 1200 PM MONDAY 07 MAY 79 +
+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ANNE WEXLER
STU EIZENSTAT

SUBJECT:

Energy Conservation Activities

We are continuing with the strategy of concentrating now on conserving gasoline and later emphasizing industrial conservation and preparation for the winter. Gasoline conservation now will help keep up the level of stocks to allow people to take vacations later this summer and to provide distillate for home heating this fall. In addition, we want to emphasize that, whatever public opinion might be about the existence of an energy shortage, there are people successfully taking conservation actions now and energy conservation over the last several years has made a difference.

To these ends, we are proceeding on the following five tracks:

1. Build upon the leadership of the governors in voluntarily reducing energy consumption by 5%. The National Governors Association is now organizing to coordinate state energy initiatives. Within a month, we anticipate that they will have a plan of action warranting a kickoff meeting for you with several governors. Various other associations, including the American Automobile Association, the National Restaurant Association and major league baseball, are developing a complementary series of activities on gasoline conservation. We anticipate hearing from them within two weeks. At that time, it might be appropriate for you to meet with the president of AAA and perhaps one or two others. A list of some of their ideas is attached.

2. Highlighting success stories about what Americans are doing to conserve energy. We will propose to you a series of activities to highlight tangible examples of what Americans are doing to reduce their energy consumption, particularly gasoline. You would be able to emphasize conservation themes on certain trips through either mentioning a local activity in a speech or a site visit. These activities will generally be tied into other elements of the strategy and should allow us to develop an educational program or other activities to follow up. Two ideas being explored now concern van and car pooling and energy fairs.

3. Business and industry conservation efforts. In general, business and industry have done a good job in energy conservation. However, more can still be done. We plan to go to certain key industries to see if they will establish voluntary goals for reduction of energy consumption as well as standards for measuring those reductions. If you concur, we could also develop a Presidential energy conservation award for those who achieve the most conservation within particular industries. We would appreciate your advice as to whether you are interested in pursuing this kind of a program.

APPROVE _____ DISAPPROVE _____

4. Energy conservation advisory committee. If you concur, we will develop a proposal for an energy conservation advisory committee which will build upon the industry and business efforts but also include the work being undertaken by the governors and the various associations. The advisory committee would consist of a cross section of national leaders including scientific and technical experts. Its purpose would be to lead and highlight the development of new conservation activities and support you in your conservation efforts. We would appreciate your guidance on this.

Develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

Do not develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

5. EMPA legislation. The Energy Management Partnership Act (EMPA) provides funds to state and local governments for energy conservation activities. Early next week EMPA should be ready for transmittal to the Congress and the Energy Department should have identified quantitative goals for the states in accomplishing a voluntary 5% energy reduction. This combination of events is a good opportunity for you to stress energy conservation by issuing a statement and sending letters to the governors on their voluntary goals. We are preparing the necessary materials to accomplish this.

These are the early steps. Obviously, we will be proposing additional activities as we move through this early phase.

Attachment

GASOLINE CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES BEING
CONSIDERED BY OUTSIDE ASSOCIATIONS

1. Reinstitution of AAA's Gas Watch Program, including mailings, newspaper, radio and television advertising, billboards, etc.
2. Letters on energy conservation from state motor vehicle commissioners to every licensed driver.
3. A national energy conservation television test.
4. A youth energy conservation corps.
5. Free energy conservation check-ups at service stations.
6. New media campaigns on car pooling and van pooling.
7. Encouragement of intrastate tourism and staggered vacation schedules.
8. A major national workshop on energy conservation.
9. Gasoline conservation educational activities and materials at major leisure parks and in all hotels, motels and restaurants.
10. Advertising at and during major league baseball games, and use of major league players.
11. A national pledge card program whereby licensed drivers pledge to reduce driving by 15 miles per week.

ID 791817.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DATE: 04 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: JODY POWELL *attacked*

JACK WATSON *concur*

ARNIE MILLER *attacked*

JERRY RAFSHOON *attacked*

PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

*working w/berg
on some changes*

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

JIM MCINTYRE

SUBJECT: WEXLER, EIZENSTAT RE ENERGY CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES

+++++

+ RESPONSE DJE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +

+ BY: 1200 PM MONDAY 07 MAY 79 +

+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

ID 201217

THE WHITE HOUSE

Rick Hutcheson

WASHINGTON

DATE: 04 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: JODY POWELL

JACK WATSON

~~ARNOLD BARNES~~

TERRY RAFFHOON

PHIL WISS

FRAN VOORDE

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

JIM MCINTYRE

*get this
back to me
by end of
today - Tues
with any
recommendations*

SUBJECT: WEXLER, RIZENSTAT RE ENERGY CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES

+++++

+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +

+ BY: 1200 PM MONDAY 07 MAY 79 +

+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

We are preparing a memorandum recommending the appointment of members of the National Alcohol Fuels Commission to be chaired by Senator Bayh. The list of members will include James B. Creal, President of AAA.

To Bill Simon

ID 791817

T H E W H I T E H O U S E

WASHINGTON

DATE: 04 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: JODY POWELL

JACK WATSON

ARNIE MILLER

JERRY RAFSHOON

PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

JIM MCINTYRE

SUBJECT: WEXLER, EIZENSTAT RE ENERGY CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES

+++++

+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +

+ BY: 1200 PM MONDAY 07 MAY 79 +

+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

I agree with Rafshoon. We must make sure that any Presidential activity is part of an overall plan - not a hit or miss strategy.

PAW

~~MEMORANDUM FOR RICK HUTCHISON~~

FROM: JERRY RAFSHOON

SUBJECT: Wexler, Eizenstat re energy conservation matters

Item # 1: Agree.

Item # 2: Agree.

Item # 3: A presidential energy conservation award is a little hokey - particularly for industry.

Item # 4: We should not set up another advisory committee. We might get more involved with The Alliance to Save Energy.

Item # 5: Agree.

5/9/79

If we seriously expect to have gasoline shortages this summer, I would favor the President's asking for time to explain the problem and what can be done about it to the country.

He should be able to do this in about 15 minutes and at the same time urge Congressional action on conservation measures now before them. This should be timed for the end of a month when allocations are running out so people can see what lies ahead for us.

The speech would have to be extremely blunt and relatively brief.

I don't have much faith in voluntary conservation programs without a strong dose of straight talk to wake people up.

Even if people do not respond to the President's message, we are better off for having warned them of the consequences.

If the Congress fails to pass a standby plan and/or most of our other conservation measures, we can use this speech to respond to their inaction.

It would seem to me that the end of this month is our best shot -- just prior to Memorial Day.

Jody Powell

ID 791817

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

JODY

DATE: 04 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: JODY POWELL

JACK WATSON

ARNIE MILLER

JERRY RAESHORN

PHIL WISE

FRAN VOORDE

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

JIM MCINTYRE

- Do you have any comment on this?

- It's needed today, if you do.

- IF NO comment
PLS check here and
Return to
me.

Thank.

SUBJECT: WEXLER, EIZENSTAT RE ENERGY CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES

+++++
+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +
+ BY: 1200 PM MONDAY 07 MAY 79 +
+++++

LW
5/9

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ANNE WEXLER *AW*
STU EIZENSTAT *SE*

SUBJECT:

Energy Conservation Activities

We are continuing with the strategy of concentrating now on conserving gasoline and later emphasizing industrial conservation and preparation for the winter. Gasoline conservation now will help keep up the level of stocks to allow people to take vacations later this summer and to provide distillate for home heating this fall. In addition, we want to emphasize that, whatever public opinion might be about the existence of an energy shortage, there are people successfully taking conservation actions now and energy conservation over the last several years has made a difference.

To these ends, we are proceeding on the following five tracks:

1. Build upon the leadership of the governors in voluntarily reducing energy consumption by 5%. The National Governors Association is now organizing to coordinate state energy initiatives. Within a month, we anticipate that they will have a plan of action warranting a kickoff meeting for you with several governors. Various other associations, including the American Automobile Association, the National Restaurant Association and major league baseball, are developing a complementary series of activities on gasoline conservation. We anticipate hearing from them within two weeks. At that time, it might be appropriate for you to meet with the president of AAA and perhaps one or two others. A list of some of their ideas is attached.

2. Highlighting success stories about what Americans are doing to conserve energy. We will propose to you a series of activities to highlight tangible examples of what Americans are doing to reduce their energy consumption, particularly gasoline. You would be able to emphasize conservation themes on certain trips through either mentioning a local activity in a speech or a site visit. These activities will generally be tied into other elements of the strategy and should allow us to develop an educational program or other activities to follow up. Two ideas being explored now concern van and car pooling and energy fairs.

3. Business and industry conservation efforts. In general, business and industry have done a good job in energy conservation. However, more can still be done. We plan to go to certain key industries to see if they will establish voluntary goals for reduction of energy consumption as well as standards for measuring those reductions. If you concur, we could also develop a Presidential energy conservation award for those who achieve the most conservation within particular industries. We would appreciate your advice as to whether you are interested in pursuing this kind of a program.

APPROVE _____ DISAPPROVE _____

4. Energy conservation advisory committee. If you concur, we will develop a proposal for an energy conservation advisory committee which will build upon the industry and business efforts but also include the work being undertaken by the governors and the various associations. The advisory committee would consist of a cross section of national leaders including scientific and technical experts. Its purpose would be to lead and highlight the development of new conservation activities and support you in your conservation efforts. We would appreciate your guidance on this.

Develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

Do not develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

5. EMPA legislation. The Energy Management Partnership Act (EMPA) provides funds to state and local governments for energy conservation activities. Early next week EMPA should be ready for transmittal to the Congress and the Energy Department should have identified quantitative goals for the states in accomplishing a voluntary 5% energy reduction. This combination of events is a good opportunity for you to stress energy conservation by issuing a statement and sending letters to the governors on their voluntary goals. We are preparing the necessary materials to accomplish this.

These are the early steps. Obviously, we will be proposing additional activities as we move through this early phase.

Attachment

GASOLINE CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES BEING
CONSIDERED BY OUTSIDE ASSOCIATIONS

1. Reinstitution of AAA's Gas Watch Program, including mailings, newspaper, radio and television advertising, billboards, etc.
2. Letters on energy conservation from state motor vehicle commissioners to every licensed driver.
3. A national energy conservation television test.
4. A youth energy conservation corps.
5. Free energy conservation check-ups at service stations.
6. New media campaigns on car pooling and van pooling.
7. Encouragement of intrastate tourism and staggered vacation schedules.
8. A major national workshop on energy conservation.
9. Gasoline conservation educational activities and materials at major leisure parks and in all hotels, motels and restaurants.
10. Advertising at and during major league baseball games, and use of major league players.
11. A national pledge card program whereby licensed drivers pledge to reduce driving by 15 miles per week.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

ANNE WEXLER
STU EIZENSTAT

SUBJECT:

Energy Conservation Activities

We are continuing with the strategy of concentrating now on conserving gasoline and later emphasizing industrial conservation and preparation for the winter. Gasoline conservation now will help keep up the level of stocks to allow people to take vacations later this summer and to provide distillate for home heating this fall. In addition, we want to emphasize that, whatever public opinion might be about the existence of an energy shortage, there are people successfully taking conservation actions now and energy conservation over the last several years has made a difference.

To these ends, we are proceeding on the following five tracks:

1. Build upon the leadership of the governors in voluntarily reducing energy consumption by 5%. The National Governors Association is now organizing to coordinate state energy initiatives. Within a month, we anticipate that they will have a plan of action warranting a kickoff meeting for you with several governors. Various other associations, including the American Automobile Association, the National Restaurant Association and major league baseball, are developing a complementary series of activities on gasoline conservation. We anticipate hearing from them within two weeks. At that time, it might be appropriate for you to meet with the president of AAA and perhaps one or two others. A list of some of their ideas is attached.

2. Highlighting success stories about what Americans are doing to conserve energy. We will propose to you a series of activities to highlight tangible examples of what Americans are doing to reduce their energy consumption, particularly gasoline. You would be able to emphasize conservation themes on certain trips through either mentioning a local activity in a speech or a site visit. These activities will generally be tied into other elements of the strategy and should allow us to develop an educational program or other activities to follow up. Two ideas being explored now concern van and car pooling and energy fairs.

3. Business and industry conservation efforts. In general, business and industry have done a good job in energy conservation. However, more can still be done. We plan to go to certain key industries to see if they will establish voluntary goals for reduction of energy consumption as well as standards for measuring those reductions. If you concur, we could also develop a Presidential energy conservation award for those who achieve the most conservation within particular industries. We would appreciate your advice as to whether you are interested in pursuing this kind of a program.

APPROVE _____ DISAPPROVE _____

4. Energy conservation advisory committee. If you concur, we will develop a proposal for an energy conservation advisory committee which will build upon the industry and business efforts but also include the work being undertaken by the governors and the various associations. The advisory committee would consist of a cross section of national leaders including scientific and technical experts. Its purpose would be to lead and highlight the development of new conservation activities and support you in your conservation efforts. We would appreciate your guidance on this.

Develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

Do not develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

5. EMPA legislation. The Energy Management Partnership Act (EMPA) provides funds to state and local governments for energy conservation activities. Early next week EMPA should be ready for transmittal to the Congress and the Energy Department should have identified quantitative goals for the states in accomplishing a voluntary 5% energy reduction. This combination of events is a good opportunity for you to stress energy conservation by issuing a statement and sending letters to the governors on their voluntary goals. We are preparing the necessary materials to accomplish this.

These are the early steps. Obviously, we will be proposing additional activities as we move through this early phase.

Attachment

GASOLINE CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES BEING
CONSIDERED BY OUTSIDE ASSOCIATIONS

1. Reinstitution of AAA's Gas Watch Program, including mailings, newspaper, radio and television advertising, billboards, etc.
2. Letters on energy conservation from state motor vehicle commissioners to every licensed driver.
3. A national energy conservation television test.
4. A youth energy conservation corps.
5. Free energy conservation check-ups at service stations.
6. New media campaigns on car pooling and van pooling.
7. Encouragement of intrastate tourism and staggered vacation schedules.
8. A major national workshop on energy conservation.
9. Gasoline conservation educational activities and materials at major leisure parks and in all hotels, motels and restaurants.
10. Advertising at and during major league baseball games, and use of major league players.
11. A national pledge card program whereby licensed drivers pledge to reduce driving by 15 miles per week.

MEMORANDUM FOR RECK HUTCHISON

FROM: JERRY RAFSHOON

SUBJECT: Wexler, Eizenstat re energy conservation matters

Item # 1: Agree.

Item # 2: Agree.

Item # 3: A presidential energy conservation award is a little hokey - particularly for industry.

Item # 4: We should not set up another advisory committee. We might get more involved with The Alliance to Save Energy.

Item # 5: Agree.

May 2, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANNE WEXLER *AW*
STU EIZENSTAT *SE*

SUBJECT: Energy Conservation Activities

We are continuing with the strategy of concentrating now on conserving gasoline and later emphasizing industrial conservation and preparation for the winter. Gasoline conservation now will help keep up the level of stocks to allow people to take vacations later this summer and to provide distillate for home heating this fall. In addition, we want to emphasize that, whatever public opinion might be about the existence of an energy shortage, there are people successfully taking conservation actions now and energy conservation over the last several years has made a difference.

To these ends, we are proceeding on the following five tracks:

1. Build upon the leadership of the governors in voluntarily reducing energy consumption by 5%. The National Governors Association is now organizing to coordinate state energy initiatives. Within a month, we anticipate that they will have a plan of action warranting a kickoff meeting for you with several governors. Various other associations, including the American Automobile Association, the National Restaurant Association and major league baseball, are developing a complementary series of activities on gasoline conservation. We anticipate hearing from them within two weeks. At that time, it might be appropriate for you to meet with the president of AAA and perhaps one or two others. A list of some of their ideas is attached.

2. Highlighting success stories about what Americans are doing to conserve energy. We will propose to you a series of activities to highlight tangible examples of what Americans are doing to reduce their energy consumption, particularly gasoline. You would be able to emphasize conservation themes on certain trips through either mentioning a local activity in a speech or a site visit. These activities will generally be tied into other elements of the strategy and should allow us to develop an educational program or other activities to follow up. Two ideas being explored now concern van and car pooling and energy fairs.
3. Business and industry conservation efforts. In general, business and industry have done a good job in energy conservation. However, more can still be done. We plan to go to certain key industries to see if they will establish voluntary goals for reduction of energy consumption as well as standards for measuring those reductions. If you concur, we could also develop a Presidential energy conservation award for those who achieve the most conservation within particular industries. We would appreciate your advice as to whether you are interested in pursuing this kind of a program.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

4. Energy conservation advisory committee. If you concur, we will develop a proposal for an energy conservation advisory committee which will build upon the industry and business efforts but also include the work being undertaken by the governors and the various associations. The advisory committee would consist of a cross section of national leaders including scientific and technical experts. Its purpose would be to lead and highlight the development of new conservation activities and support you in your conservation efforts. We would appreciate your guidance on this.

Develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

Do not develop proposal for Advisory Committee _____

5. EMPA legislation. The Energy Management Partnership Act (EMPA) provides funds to state and local governments for energy conservation activities. Early next week EMPA should be ready for transmittal to the Congress and the Energy Department should have identified quantitative goals for the states in accomplishing a voluntary 5% energy reduction. This combination of events is a good opportunity for you to stress energy conservation by issuing a statement and sending letters to the governors on their voluntary goals. We are preparing the necessary materials to accomplish this.

These are the early steps. Obviously, we will be proposing additional activities as we move through this early phase.

Attachment

GASOLINE CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES BEING
CONSIDERED BY OUTSIDE ASSOCIATIONS

1. Reinstitution of AAA's Gas Watch Program, including mailings, newspaper, radio and television advertising, billboards, etc.
2. Letters on energy conservation from state motor vehicle commissioners to every licensed driver.
3. A national energy conservation television test.
4. A youth energy conservation corps.
5. Free energy conservation check-ups at service stations.
6. New media campaigns on car pooling and van pooling.
7. Encouragement of intrastate tourism and staggered vacation schedules.
8. A major national workshop on energy conservation.
9. Gasoline conservation educational activities and materials at major leisure parks and in all hotels, motels and restaurants.
10. Advertising at and during major league baseball games, and use of major league players.
11. A national pledge card program whereby licensed drivers pledge to reduce driving by 15 miles per week.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Secretary Kreps
Ambassador Strauss
Stu Eizenstat
Zbig Brzezinski/Henry Owen
Anne Wexler

The attached was returned
in the President's outbox
today and is forwarded to
you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Phil Wise
Fran Voorde
Jerry Rafshoon
Jody Powell



THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
Washington, D.C. 20230

May 9, 1979

C

MEMORANDUM FOR The President

From: *JMK* Juanita M. Kreps
Robert S. Straus
Stuart Eizenstat *RS*

Henry Owen *HO*
Anne Wexler *AW*

Subject: Announcement of President's Export Council

One element of the National Export Policy that you announced last September was the revitalization of the President's Export Council (PEC). To carry out this commitment, you signed an Executive Order reestablishing the Council and named new members to it. Announcement of the new Council, its membership and its chairman, Reg Jones of General Electric, is now pending. We recommend that during World Trade Week (May 20-26) you personally announce the establishment of the Council and meet briefly with the Council in the Roosevelt Room.

World Trade Week is a high-visibility event in the business world. The inauguration of the new Council during that week and your willingness to meet with it would highlight your commitment to export promotion and lend credence to the theme of World Trade Week, "Exporting...a National Priority." It would also give you an opportunity to show positive steps the Administration is taking pursuant to last September's announcement.

Press would be invited into the meeting at the time of your brief remarks to the Council. The publicity from this meeting would have several advantages:

- It would highlight the establishment of the PEC and indicate your commitment to improving the nation's export performance and trade balance.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

- Expansion of export opportunities is seen as the major plus to the United States from the MTN package. The PEC will be an important element in our effort to take advantage of the export opportunities created by the MTN. Giving visibility to the PEC and its efforts will contribute to building public and Congressional support for the MTN.

- The members of the PEC are recognized leaders in the business community and friends of the Administration. A joint appearance would be useful as a public sign of the support you are receiving from the business community.

For all these reasons, we recommend that you meet with the President's Export Council during World Trade Week.

Approve _____ ✓

Disapprove _____

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Mr. President:

Rafshoon concurs.

Rick

ID 791921

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DATE: 09 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: FRAN VOORDE

PHIL WISE

JODY POWELL

JERRY RAFSHOON *lower*

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: SENIOR STAFF MEMO RE ANNOUNCEMENT OF PRESIDENT'S EXPORT
COUNCIL

+++++
+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +
+ BY: 0900 AM WEDNESDAY 16 MAY 79 +
+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

ID 791921

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DATE: 09 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: FRAN VOORDE

PHIL WISE

JODY POWELL

JERRY RAFSHOON

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT


SUBJECT: SENIOR STAFF MEMO RE ANNOUNCEMENT OF PRESIDENT'S EXPORT
COUNCIL

+++++
+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +
+ BY: 0900 AM WEDNESDAY 16 MAY 79 +
+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:



May 9, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR The President

From: *JK*
Janita M. Kreps
Robert S. Strauss
Stuart Eizenstat *JS*

Henry Owen *HO*
Anne Wexler *AW*

Subject: Announcement of President's Export Council

One element of the National Export Policy that you announced last September was the revitalization of the President's Export Council (PEC). To carry out this commitment, you signed an Executive Order reestablishing the Council and named new members to it. Announcement of the new Council, its membership and its chairman, Reg Jones of General Electric, is now pending. We recommend that during World Trade Week (May 20-26) you personally announce the establishment of the Council and meet briefly with the Council in the Roosevelt Room.

World Trade Week is a high-visibility event in the business world. The inauguration of the new Council during that week and your willingness to meet with it would highlight your commitment to export promotion and lend credence to the theme of World Trade Week, "Exporting...a National Priority." It would also give you an opportunity to show positive steps the Administration is taking pursuant to last September's announcement.

Press would be invited into the meeting at the time of your brief remarks to the Council. The publicity from this meeting would have several advantages:

- It would highlight the establishment of the PEC and indicate your commitment to improving the nation's export performance and trade balance.

- Expansion of export opportunities is seen as the major plus to the United States from the MTN package. The PEC will be an important element in our effort to take advantage of the export opportunities created by the MTN. Giving visibility to the PEC and its efforts will contribute to building public and Congressional support for the MTN.
- The members of the PEC are recognized leaders in the business community and friends of the Administration. A joint appearance would be useful as a public sign of the support you are receiving from the business community.

For all these reasons, we recommend that you meet with the President's Export Council during World Trade Week.

Approve _____

Disapprove _____

ID 791921

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

*5/11
HOLD - working w/ FRJsw
in 1H*

DATE: 09 MAY 79

FOR ACTION: FRAN VOORDE

PHIL WISE

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: SENIOR STAFF MEMO RE ANNOUNCEMENT OF PRESIDENT'S EXPORT
COUNCIL

+++++

+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +

+ BY: 1200 PM FRIDAY 11 MAY 79 +

+++++

ACTION REQUESTED: YOUR COMMENTS

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Phil Wise
Ed Sanders

The attached was returned
in the President's outbox
today and is forwarded to
you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

2045

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Mr. President;

Ed Sanders has requested to see you about this. Hamilton recommends you talk with him. It could be this afternoon or tomorrow morning but the situation is very fluid.

approve meeting ~~today~~
tomorrow

disapprove

Phil

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
May 14, 1979

State met 5/14/79
Ed- Saunders
Took action
J

MEMORANDUM FOR: HAMILTON JORDAN/PHIL WISE
FROM: ED SANDERS *Ed*
SUBJECT: Iranian Jews

This is a matter of life and death.

I have just learned within the last two hours that there may be as many as 125 prominent Iranian Jews in custody.

Since the execution of Habib Elghanian last week, the American Jewish community's anxiety and concern has been constantly increasing. I understand this anxiety and concern, and share it. As an example of what I have been hearing and seeing and listening to, I have attached a release by Howard Squadron, President of the American Jewish Congress.

I recommend that the President send a personal emissary to both the Pope and President Giscard d'Estang of France to personally deliver a message from the President requesting that the Pope and President Giscard use every bit of their influence to protect the minority communities in Iran, with specific reference to the Jews.

The fact that Elghanian was executed for "treason" because of his connection with Israel and Zionism raises special concern about the fate of the other Jews in Iran.

I have discussed this idea with Elie Wiesel, Chairman of the President's Commission on the Holocaust, and we mutually concluded that we should volunteer to carry the message to the Pope and President Giscard.

I have asked for an immediate appointment with the President to discuss this matter and to make the foregoing suggestion. I would appreciate your help. This is a matter of the gravest concern.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

news

AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS

STEPHEN WISE CONGRESS HOUSE, 15 EAST 84th STREET • NEW YORK, N. Y. 10028 • TRAFALGAR 9-4500

CONTACT: Richard Cohen
(212) 879-4500

For Immediate Release

NEW YORK, May 10 -- The American Jewish Congress appealed today to the Carter Administration and governments around the world in behalf of the "defenseless Jewish community of Iran" following the execution of the former head of the community, Habib Elghanian.

Howard M. Squadron, president of the Congress, declared in a statement:

"It is with anguish and foreboding that we mourn the death of Habib Elghanian, an Iranian Jewish businessman who was executed by order of Iran's secret Islamic courts for 'treason through his connections with Israel and Zionism.' Since Elghanian's death by firing squads, the Farsi state radio has repeatedly emphasized his alleged association with Israel and Zionism as the reason for his execution.

"An unidentified judge sitting in a clandestine courtroom following no known procedures has found Elghanian guilty of sharing in basic sentiments and concerns which unite the Jewish people everywhere.

"We deplore the primitive procedures which have led to these summary executions. We condemn the brutal sentences handed down by a star-chamber bent on an orgy of blood-letting.

"Elghanian's death raises deep concerns about the fate of other Jews in Iran. Virtually every Jewish Iranian family has friends and relatives now residing in Israel. It is clear that the protection of the defenseless Jewish community in Iran depends upon immediate action by Iranian authorities to put an end to this brutality and gross abuse of power.

"We earnestly hope that the present course can yet be reversed. Toward that end, we ask the assistance of governments and private groups throughout the world, including the U.S. government, to employ every resource available so that Iranian authorities will abandon these ruthless practices.

"Habib Elghanian cannot be returned to us. We can only hope that the horror and injustice of his death will produce so great a revulsion the world over that these murders will be stopped."

X X X

PCcort-51079
SelByH:SelFDM:
IC:AJ:●ff:Reg.

news

AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS

STEPHEN WISE CONGRESS HOUSE, 15 EAST 84th STREET • NEW YORK, N. Y. 10028 • TRAFALGAR 9-4500

CONTACT: Richard Cohen
(212) 879-4500

For Immediate Release

NEW YORK, May 10 -- The American Jewish Congress appealed today to the Carter Administration and governments around the world in behalf of the "defenseless Jewish community of Iran" following the execution of the former head of the community, Habib Elghanian.

Howard M. Squadron, president of the Congress, declared in a statement:

"It is with anguish and foreboding that we mourn the death of Habib Elghanian, an Iranian Jewish businessman who was executed by order of Iran's secret Islamic courts for 'treason through his connections with Israel and Zionism.' Since Elghanian's death by firing squads, the Farsi state radio has repeatedly emphasized his alleged association with Israel and Zionism as the reason for his execution.

"An unidentified judge sitting in a clandestine courtroom following no known procedures has found Elghanian guilty of sharing in basic sentiments and concerns which unite the Jewish people everywhere.

"We deplore the primitive procedures which have led to these summary executions. We condemn the brutal sentences handed down by a star-chamber bent on an orgy of blood-letting.

"Elghanian's death raises deep concerns about the fate of other Jews in Iran. Virtually every Jewish Iranian family has friends and relatives now residing in Israel. It is clear that the protection of the defenseless Jewish community in Iran depends upon immediate action by Iranian authorities to put an end to this brutality and gross abuse of power.

"We earnestly hope that the present course can yet be reversed. Toward that end, we ask the assistance of governments and private groups throughout the world, including the U.S. government, to employ every resource available so that Iranian authorities will abandon these ruthless practices.

"Habib Elghanian cannot be returned to us. We can only hope that the horror and injustice of his death will produce so great a revulsion the world over that these murders will be stopped."

X X X

PCcort-51079
SelByH:SelFDM:
IC:AJ:Off:Reg.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON
May 14, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: EDWARD SANDERS *ES*
SUBJECT: Iranian Jews

This is a matter of life and death.

I have just learned within the last two hours that there may be as many as 125 Iranian Jews in custody.

Since the execution of Habib Elghanian last week, the American Jewish community's anxiety and concern has been constantly increasing. I understand this anxiety and concern, and share it. As an example of what I have been hearing and seeing and listening to, I have attached a release by Howard Squadron, President of the American Jewish Congress.

I recommend that you send a personal emissary to both the Pope and President Giscard of France to personally deliver a message from you requesting that the Pope and President Giscard use every bit of their influence to protect the minority communities in Iran, with specific reference to the Jews.

I have discussed this idea with Elie Wiesel, Chairman of the President's Commission on the Holocaust, and we mutually concluded that we should volunteer to carry the message to the Pope and President Giscard.

The fact that Elghanian was executed for "treason" because of his connection with Israel and Zionism raises special concern about the fate of the other Jews in Iran.

I have asked for an immediate appointment with you to discuss this matter and to make the foregoing recommendation. This is a matter of the gravest concern.

Attachment:
a/s

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
NO DEADLINE
LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

ACTION
FYI

*Phil
needs
copy*

ADMIN CONFID
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET
EYES ONLY

VICE PRESIDENT
EIZENSTAT
JORDAN
KRAFT
LIPSHUTZ
MOORE
POWELL
WATSON
WEXLER
BRZEZINSKI
MCINTYRE
SCHULTZE

ARAGON
BOURNE
BUTLER
H. CARTER
CLOUGH
COSTANZA
CRUIKSHANK
FALLOWS
FIRST LADY
GAMMILL
HARDEN
HUTCHESON
JAGODA
LINDER
MITCHELL
MOE
PETERSON
PETTIGREW
PRESS
RAFSHOON
SCHNEIDERS
VOORDE
WARREN
WISE
<i>Sanders</i>

ADAMS
ANDRUS
BELL
BERGLAND
BLUMENTHAL
BROWN
CALIFANO
HARRIS
KREPS
MARSHALL
SCHLESINGER
STRAUSS
VANCE

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

CONGRESSIONAL SCHEDULING PROPOSAL

MEETING: White House appointment with
Senator John Stennis (D-Miss)

LENGTH: 15 minutes *Requested*

DATE: As soon as possible next week

PURPOSE: To discuss Iranian ships

EVENT DETAILS: PARTICIPANTS
The President
Senator Stennis
Secretary of the Navy
Jim McIntyre

LOCATION: Oval Office

PRESS PLAN: White House photo

INITIAL
REQUESTER: Frank Moore *F.M.*

DATE OF SUBMISSION: May 9, 1979

cc: Phil Wise

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 17
11:15 AM

Mr. President:

This request from Stennis came in late Friday. Frank feels you need to see him although your decision has been made. The meeting should be this week.

approve disapprove

Phil

Need briefing
paper
J

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

12:30 PM

C

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 15, 1979

Mr. President:

Senator Moynihan's office just called HEW asking for benefits to Texas under our welfare bill -- presumably to make the point that our bill is pro-Sunbelt and that New York does not get enough.

In Texas:

- o Our bill would add a total of 20,000 cases to the welfare rolls through the minimum benefit (65% of poverty) and through mandating coverage of two-parent families. A total of 80,000 families would receive increased benefit. (The present AFDC plus foodstamps benefits for a family with no other income in Texas is \$37,000 -- 50% of poverty).
- o Our bill would provide \$2.4 million in fiscal relief.

In New York:

- o Our bill would not add to the welfare rolls. 90,000 families would receive increased income through jobs. (The present AFDC plus foodstamps benefit for a family with no income in New York is \$6500 -- of poverty.)
- o Our bill would provide \$113 - \$150 million in fiscal relief.


Stu

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

FROM THE OFFICE OF

Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan

New York

Embargoed for Release
Thursday, PM
May 10, 1979

Contact: Tim Russert
202/224-4451

SALT II

ADDRESS BY SENATOR DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN (D., N.Y.)

before the

73rd Annual Meeting of the

American Jewish Committee

Waldorf-Astoria, New York, New York

Thursday, May 10, 1979, at 12:15 p.m.

The SALT negotiations have essentially been completed and the debate on ratification has begun.

So far I have been listening, but clearly the time is at hand to state something of my own position. I have wanted to do this in New York, addressing myself, in the first instance, to the people of our State in whose name I will cast my vote in the Senate.

I would first wish to declare myself altogether a friend of strategic arms limitations. As who is not?

I want to vote for the treaty. I ask only the kind of assurances from the Administration that will enable me to do so.

I will not vote for the treaty because it will actually limit the growth of nuclear weapons as between the United States and Soviet Russia. It will not.

The treaty, as Senator Hatfield and others have pointed out, is essentially a photograph of the facts. And the facts are that the nuclear arsenals of both powers continue to grow, with that of the Soviet Union growing faster than that of the United States.

I have heard a responsible analyst suggest that what the treaty does in effect is to ratify a growth rate in Soviet military spending which is about as much as they could sustain, treaty or no treaty, but which the treaty legitimates.

Even so there is a compelling case for continuing the process -- some process -- and for the moment this is all we have.

But it is precisely this unwelcome irony -- some fifteen years of negotiating with the Soviets over arms limitations paralleled by fifteen years of expanding Soviet military power -- that for me will be the central issue of the coming debate. In my view the real question is what do we think about this and what are we going to do about it. More particularly, is there any way we can reverse this course of events, and actually commence to reduce arms in the world, and above all, nuclear arms.

It would seem fair to assume that such an inquiry ought to begin with the President's own views on this matter. But here we encounter, or at least I encounter, the difficulty that the President seems to have presented us with two quite disparate views.

The Administration came to office espousing a distinctly optimistic view of Soviet-American relations. This was nowhere so pronounced as in the area of arms limitation where it was argued that Soviet behavior was basically imitative of our behavior, such that restraint on ours could reasonably be expected to lead to restraint on theirs.

Thus, in his 1976 campaign, the President had said he would considerably reduce the defense budget, presumably thereby signaling to the Soviets that they might reduce theirs. The President's

appointments, on taking office, confirmed this general approach. Relations, he seemed to say, had been needlessly strained, and in significant measure this was our own doing.

I would like to have thought this, as who would not? For if our behavior was the source of much of the difficulty we would ease that difficulty simply by behaving differently.

But I did not think this. I had spent a period as an ambassador in Asia and a further period at the United Nations. It seemed to me that the tensions between our nations were increasingly to be ascribed to Soviet behavior, not ours. I did not pretend fully to understand their behavior, but I did feel I recognized it for what it was.

In my own campaign in 1976, with absolutely no pleasure, at some cost, and in the face of much opposition I made known my views that whoever the next President might be he would propose to increase the Defense budget once the growth of Soviet arms became apparent to him, as inevitably it would.

At issue here, or so it seemed to me, was a kind of reality principle.

I could imagine, and can imagine, a whole spectrum of foreign policy judgments compatible with the view that the Soviets had entered a particularly aggressive phase in their foreign affairs and were rapidly outpacing the United States in military power.

I could and can conceive of an intelligent argument that it really doesn't matter; that in the end the Soviets by their assertiveness only make troubles for themselves, both at home and abroad, and that we should leave them to their self-induced sorrows.

But I could not accept the view that their behavior was other than what it seemed to me to be. It seemed to me the Administration was deluding itself, and this was the subject of my first speech in the Senate on March 4, 1977.

It should be clear that then, as now, this issue seemed to me to involve an extensive if sometimes obscured set of implications. Far more was involved than simply a dispute over intelligence estimates. In capsule, the view had arisen, especially within my own Democratic party, that the principal threat to peace in the world was the military power of the United States. Such a view was not compatible with the notion that in fact our military strength was steadily declining relative to that of the Soviets. This reality was as obvious then as it is now, and inevitably one wondered how it could be so confidently denied.

Within weeks of my first speaking on this subject the Administration encountered something of this reality, or so it seemed to me. Secretary Vance, in perfect good faith, flew to Moscow where he presented new and dramatic proposals for strategic arms limitations. Each side would sharply reduce its nuclear weaponry. The Soviets were outraged. A New York Times account of March 31, 1977, began:

Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko accused the Carter Administration today of having made false and unrealistic arms control proposals that would give unilateral advantage to the United States. The statement, touched with anger and sarcasm, came at a televised news conference -- unusual for Moscow. It had been called to explain the Soviet Union's rejection of two American proposals for a treaty limiting strategic weapons that had been brought here by Secretary of State Cyrus R. Vance. . . .

On April 3, 1977 Pravda said simply: "It is up to the United States. The question is whether it is able to adopt a realistic position and take into greater account the interests of the USSR and its affairs."

This was a shock, but it did not prevent the United States, six months later, from agreeing to a Soviet draft of a Joint Communiqué which would have brought the Russians back into the Middle East, with the P.L.O. in their knapsack and murder in their heart.

This was preceded and followed by all manner of Soviet "adventurism" in Asia and Africa.

In the Spring of 1978, perhaps too casually, but seeking to make a serious point, I remarked that the Soviets were beginning to behave like a power that was overreaching us and we were beginning to accommodate to that.

At the risk of numbing the reader, I would like to repeat that these facts of Soviet behavior did not and do not dictate any necessary course of American response. The issue that concerned me was whether we were denying these facts in order to avoid facing other realities.

Then, or so it seems to me, the President, two years into his first term, seemed to reverse himself quite drastically.

His budget message for fiscal year 1980 proposed a considerable increase in defense spending, while simultaneously calling for sharp reductions in social programs of the kind he had promised during his 1976 campaign to support and to increase. Of a sudden, Administration spokesmen were speaking in quite different terms from those to which we had become accustomed.

In February, 1979, presenting this defense budget, Secretary Brown told the Senate Budget Committee that it "reflects the President's determination to begin countering the Soviet military buildup that has been underway for fifteen years." The Secretary then went on to document trends in military spending during this period, here and in the Soviet Union. U. S. military investments, measured in terms of constant dollars, have been in a general decline since 1969. Since the early 1960s, Secretary Brown said, "Soviet investment in new military hardware has increased twofold... and is more than twice ours today.... The upward trend shows every sign of continuing.... Soviet spending has shown no response to U. S. restraint -- when we build, they build; when we cut they build."

The President seemed also to endorse the particular reading of the facts. He said, in speaking to the American Newspaper Publishers Association in New York City on April 25, 1979, "Over the past decade, the Soviets have steadily increased their real defense spending, while ours has had a net decrease."

I welcome what appears, now, to be an accord as to the facts. That is the first thing, for at least we can begin to think both of meaning and solution from agreed data.

And yet this suggests at least two difficulties. The first is how the Administration chooses to assess the influence of this expansion of Soviet military power on Soviet foreign policy and Soviet international behavior. For surely, the Soviet Union is a curious country. It is by most measures a failure, save for its enormous military might. Increasingly, that might becomes the principal means of Soviet influence in the world, the sine qua non of its increasing intervention in Asia and Africa -- Ethiopia, Angola, Yemen, Cambodia, Afghanistan, and so on.

This, I think, creates a new kind of problem, for it is in my mind wrong to apply the traditional historical distinction between "satisfied" and "unsatisfied" powers to the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union is not Bismarck's Germany, for unlike 19th Century Germany, it has not established itself as a great cultural and economic force in the world. It is not a society others seek to emulate in the inspirational sense. It does not define "modernity" for any but those, seeking to displace the civic culture of their own countries, who find in Soviet totalitarianism a model for repressive government organization. In all the important senses, the Soviets are weak. But they are armed. Increasingly

one asks whether it is their very sense of weakness that prompts their increasing resort to arms. Hence also their seeming refusal to consider any real strategic arms limitations.

What I would wish to know is what the President thinks about all this. Do the recent statements by the President and the Secretary of Defense mark a change in view? Do they think now what they did not think two years ago? Or do they think now that it is useful to say things that will be reassuring to those of us who two years ago thought they were misled?

I hope I make myself clear, for I expect the outcome of the treaty, and certainly my vote, will rest on how the Administration responds to these questions.

This brings me to a second set of concerns, those involving verification.

I submit that the verification controversy is not about technology. Not really. It is rather about policy, about understanding, about political will. For there is a curious way in which the words "verification" and "compliance" have come to be used synonymously in the Administration's discussion of SALT. Verification, let us remember, does not guarantee Soviet compliance with any agreement. At best, it allows us to detect instances of Soviet non-compliance. Then comes the issue of whether we will respond.

I would have to say that if the Administration has wished to give the impression of hard bargainers who will hold the Soviets to an agreement once made, it has passed up a number of opportunities.

Let me be specific. For some time now I have been pressing the Administration in two areas where the Soviet Union is egregiously violating, in the one instance, a solemn treaty obligation, and in the other instance the no less solemn laws of the United States.

Both matters are of particular interest to New Yorkers.

First there is the matter of Soviet intelligence agents in the United Nations Secretariat. I am aware that even to speak of this subject invites derision -- not least, I am sorry to say, in the Department of State. But this is why I raise it. I am not as such alarmed by Soviet spies. May I say that I have known my share of them, and have not been overly impressed. But I do fear for the United Nations Charter and the whole conception of legal obligations between nations.

Article 100 of the United Nations Charter states that the staff of the UN "shall not seek or receive instructions from any government or from any other authority external to the Organization." The article further specifies that "each member of the United Nations undertakes to respect the exclusively international character of the staff and not to seek to influence them in the discharge of their responsibilities." Now, quite contrary to these provisions, the Soviet Union has for years been placing KGB intelligence agents within the various UN secretariats. On May 20, 1978, two such were arrested and charged with espionage. They were tried in New Jersey, and convicted on October 14. As you know, they were recently released in exchange for five Soviet political prisoners.

As a diplomat, I was not unfamiliar with this particular Soviet proclivity. There was nothing new or startling here. But after this episode made it all public, I began to comment publicly. The placing of intelligence agents in UN staff positions is an unambiguous violation of the Charter. I submitted a report which dealt with this and other subjects to the Senate on March 27, 1979, based upon a visit I had made to UNESCO meetings in Paris in late 1978. On the 22nd of March, I testified to the same effect before a subcommittee of the House Foreign Affairs Committee. Here, I said, is a Soviet violation of a solemn international agreement, and the United States will not publicly denounce the Soviet government for it. And if further "verification" were

needed, Newsweek of May 7, 1979, identifies seven KGB agents operating in the UN secretariats in Geneva. The magazine quotes one twenty-five year veteran of UN staff service as saying of his colleagues, "perhaps no more than three or four people are willing to stand up to Soviet dictates." Let us be thankful that Ambassador VandenHeuval protested, but there is no sign that the Department of State itself is in the least concerned. When the United States complains, it complains to the UN authorities, not to the Soviet government. Having verified a violation by the Soviets, of their Charter obligation, the Administration somehow thinks that if the Secretariat will do nothing about it, then that is the end of that matter.

There is another example, conceptually different, yet importantly related, for it speaks to our confidence in the Administration's willingness to secure reciprocity for the United States in the face of objectionable Soviet behavior.

On June 23, 1975, the Chicago Tribune reported that the Rockefeller Commission looking into CIA behavior had received testimony concerning "massive" Soviet eavesdropping in the United States. The Commission had wished to state publicly that Communist countries could monitor and record thousands of private telephone conversations. It emerged in subsequent press accounts, and is now generally understood, that equipment installed in Soviet diplomatic installations in this country could eavesdrop on telephone communications relayed by

microwave. Vice President Rockefeller was particularly concerned about this, for a substantial amount of this activity was conducted in New York, the workings of our commercial and financial communities being of particular interest to the Soviet government.

This practice by the Soviets is a clear violation of the Constitutional rights of Americans, and I have repeatedly urged the President to tell the Soviets to stop it. Just stop it. The answer comes back that the interception is done in immune diplomatic installations, and, in any case, the U.S. does similar things elsewhere, such that we must tolerate the Soviet activities in order to pursue our own. I find this, frankly, but another invocation of "national security" to justify an intrusion into the Constitutional rights of Americans. The rights of Americans must come first.

One asks: why is the Administration so reticent? Consider the controversy that now surrounds the rather mundane question of the construction of new embassies in the Soviet and American capitals. It happens that the microwave interception of telephone calls is best accomplished at a height. (As for example, the top of the Soviet diplomatic residency in Riverdale.) In 1972, we reached an agreement with the Soviets about new embassies. It turns out that their new site is on Mt. Alto in the District of Columbia, the second highest point in the capital. Our site is along the marshy banks of the Moscow River. Construction of the Soviet facility

is nearly complete and they are ready to move in. Construction of the American facility has not begun; indeed, the construction contract has still not been signed. Their building will be twelve stories high; ours will be eight.

I think this story important because, in microcosm, it speaks to the issue of confidence in the Administration to secure reasonable Soviet compliance with the terms of an agreement.

It is said that SALT is different. On April 30, 1979, the President said that the Soviets would not violate the terms of the SALT agreement, because such violations might well lead to a "nuclear confrontation." And yet, one must ask: if the Administration will not insist on compliance with agreements in these matters, what will it do faced with a situation which, by the President's own prior words, could lead to "nuclear confrontation"?

I put the matter as plainly as I can. I hope to see the Administration make clear that we can depend on it for an accurate description of Soviet behavior, and meticulous insistence on compliance with agreements once made.

This can and will lead to the ratification of SALT II.

More importantly, it can set in motion a SALT III which might actually lead to the reduction of strategic arms. The present "declaration of principles" concerning SALT III in the SALT II treaty does not do this.

At most, as a high ranking official of the Administration described it in conversation just last week, the present language is "anodyne." The President should not settle for this. If he presents us a second arms limitation treaty which does not reduce arms and proposes a third which will not do so either, the whole exercise becomes just futile, if not absurd.

Of late the Secretary of State has spoken wisely and well about America's role in world affairs. Even so I would ask what is intended by the statement in his recent speech in Chicago:

We will assure that essential equivalence in nuclear arms is maintained. We will not be overtaken by the momentum of Soviet military programs.

For unless we devise a diplomacy that can slow that momentum, this proposition is in fact a formula for starting up a genuine arms race. Not to see that, or so it seems to me, is a legacy of our earlier denial of that Soviet momentum.

2042

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Jack Watson

The attached was returned in the
President's outbox today and is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE



Serving
POLICEMEN
Everywhere

OFFICE OF THE
NATIONAL PRESIDENT
R. PAT STARK
2825 SOUTH OXFORD
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46203
TELEPHONE 317/787-6532

J Watson
draft answer
J

May 15, 1979

President James Carter
White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

Dear President Carter:

As National President of the Fraternal Order of Police, I sincerely thank you for taking time from your busy schedule to meet with me and discuss the problems facing the Law Enforcement Officers of this country. We know that you are involved with the many problems facing the world today, and appreciate the fact that you do realize that crime in this country is still one of the major everyday problems, confronting the decent citizens of the United States.

Mr. President, I have listed some of the major problems that the police of this country feel need understanding, action, and solutions to. These problems greatly affect the morale, efficiency, and well being of every police officer in this country, especially at a time when total disregard for Law and Order is being displayed by many radical organizations, whose main purpose is to tear down the respect for an orderly society, and disrupt the government of this country. I would hope that when the occasion presents itself you will digest this information and if you see fit to address our problems, you can count on our total cooperation.

Civil Rights Charges: This law is being abused by many citizens of questionable character to bring false charges against many police officers who must then retain attorneys to defend them many times at a high cost factor and when the case is finally heard and evidence proves that there was no violation of anyones rights, the police officer has been subjected to abuse by the news media, his reputation has a cloud placed over it,



and he has no recourse to regain his monetary lost and correct the gross injustice he has suffered, except of course after being financially drained by the expense to defend himself against false charges, to mortgage everything he owns to seek redress in a court of law. These charges of rights violations should be investigated much more thoroughly before any charges are filed and before the news media is given any information concerning the investigation and by improper reporting gets the officer convicted in the eyes of the public, before the case ever comes to trial.

Judicial System: Although we have many fine judges in this country, there are still far too many who still concern themselves too much with the rights of criminals, than the rights of their victims. There has been too much needless waste of tax payers dollars on rehabilitation programs for criminals, even those who first supported the concept of rehabilitation have now admitted those plans don't work for one simple reason, most criminals don't want to be rehabilitated, they laugh at the idea. The judges of this country are going to have to wake up to reality, our citizens are tired of the still prevalent attitude of softness on crime and criminals. Judges are going to have to put those criminals in prison that inflict physical violence and injury on the decent law abiding working people of this country, its time to channel those federal funds used on rehabilitation programs into building bigger and better prisons to house those persons who by their own actions have chosen to violate our countries laws, who evidently by their own doing do not want to live in an orderly society and work at an honest job, and be an asset to their community. Our judges and courts must remove those type individuals from society.

Communications Gap: Mr. President, you and the United States Congress must open up the terrible lack of communications between the government of this country and the Law Enforcement world, the average police officer feels that the government of this country has no regard for his well being, the dangers involved in his everyday fight. In an ever continuing war against crime, the apparent lack of support from all levels of government. When he learns that the organization he belongs to and its leaders have no way to convey his problems to the elected leaders of this country, he is hurt, disgusted, and feels why should I keep trying to enforce the laws, apprehend criminals, make my community a safer place to live in when nobody else really seems to care. Crime is destroying this country, our streets are not safe for the elderly, children, men, or women. Police officers feel the only time crime is really addressed on a National Level is when election time rolls around, then all candidates for local, state, or national offices seem to make crime one of their prime platforms, they are all going to solve this problem, yet after the elections are over it is once again forgotten or is seldom discussed.

Police Salaries: Police Salaries today as an average are the lowest of any profession, this is probably the biggest problem in the area of Law Enforcement, private sector will receive fantastic salary increase and benefits, however when those in private sector receive their pay raises the end cost is passed on to the consumer, police officers' salaries are always tied to the tax base of a city, county, or state and the average elected politician will always fight tax increases, for he feels that it will cost him votes when he comes up for re-election. We feel that in this area the Federal Funds going into cities and states should be used to supplement police salaries in the way of salary increases and other benefits all the sophisticated equipment put into our police departments across the country are of no value as long as we have under-paid police officers to man the equipment, police officers who feel they are under-paid could care less about fancy equipment, they would rather have more money in their pay check, police salaries in this country are going to have to be upgraded comparable to private industry.

Social Security: The attempt to force all police officers under Social Security, has had the effect of waving a red flag in the face of an angry bull, the greatest majority of police in this country today are and have been for years, under their own Pension System of the particular state they live in, they were promised these pensions as a part of becoming a police officer, these pensions systems have been established many years ago, by being mandatorily forced under social security this would only penalize them by having more dollars taken out of their already meager pay checks. We feel that every citizen should have some kind of financial security when he or she reaches the point where they can no longer work, however we feel it is grossly wrong to force those public employees (police officers) who have been contributing to their own state pension system for many years, to also be forced to pay into social security. Police officers being the lowest paid professionals in the country, cannot stand any more deductions from their take home pay, most all of them are also having to work extra jobs (moonlighting) to keep their heads above water now, on these moonlighting jobs they do pay social security, so in essence they are contributing already to social security besides paying into their own pension system.

CURRENT LEGISLATION AFFECTING POLICE:

At the present time we are attempting to pass legislation that we feel would help alleviate some of these problems, we are requesting the United States Congress to give thought and importance to passing this much needed police legislation: H.R. 2443, Policemens Bill of Rights, it is a shame that we have to obtain legislation to protect Policemens Rights, their rights are being violated everyday by department and administrative heads in the manner in which they are being accused and interrogated, they are not even being afforded the same basic rights

that criminals are. He must adhere to protecting criminals while his are being violated. Collective Bargaining, we are seeking relief in this area from Congress because State Legislative bodies are dragging their feet in this area and are refusing to pass State Laws governing Collective Bargaining which I previously state would end the problem of police strikes and job actions.

Tax Free Pensions: We feel that if Congress would pass this bill making police pensions tax free it would be one way to show these police officers that this country and its citizens appreciate all the years of service to making cities, towns and states a more decent place to live in, and make up for the low pay they have endured for many years, while those they have protected have drawn much larger salaries and enjoyed much better benefits working conditions.

Respectfully yours,



R. Pat Stark
National President
Fraternal Order of Police

FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE



Serving
POLICEMEN
Everywhere.

OFFICE OF THE
NATIONAL PRESIDENT
R. PAT STARK
2825 SOUTH OXFORD
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46203
TELEPHONE 317/787-6532

May 15, 1979

President James Carter
White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

I know that as President that you are gravely concerned when you read about the alarming increase of Police Strikes happening across this country today, for your information and perhaps to better enlighten you as to the causes for this ever increasing problem I present the following information, and will always be available should you wish to pursue this matter at anytime.

The Fraternal Order of Police at a great financial cost, and time consuming period have attempted to assist Law Enforcement Officers of every state to gain passage in their State Legislative bodies, bills if passed into law would rectify this distasteful problem. We have lobbied for strong Arbitration and Collective Bargaining bills that would set by law the guide lines that both the police and management would have to follow concerning wages, benefits, etc. These bills have all had strong language which would prohibit and make unlawful any police strikes or cessation of police duties. We have been rejected by State after State by their refusal to pass these laws. We of the Fraternal Order of Police certainly object to and do not approve of Police Strikes, however, when the management side slams the door in the faces of police officers and refuse to discuss wage increases and benefits for police, it leaves the police officers no alternative, no place to go, all this could be avoided with laws and force both management and police officers to sit down and negotiate in a dignified manner.



Until such a time as Mayors, councils, etc., are made to bargain intelligently and properly with their police departments we will see an ever mounting growth of Police Strikes and job actions. Today's police are much younger and will not accept this improper attitude of elected officials. When these police strikes occur the citizens who live in those areas are placed in danger. The police do not want this, but, when given no consideration, many times better judgement does not prevail. In these instances where police strikes occur we of the National Fraternal Order of Police do send assistance into these problem areas and make every attempt to quickly resolve the issues.

I would suggest that you appoint a committee to work on the police problems of this country, to monitor, investigate, and seek solutions to correct these problems. This would prove to all the Law Enforcement officers that you as President are aware of these problems, that you really do care about them and will make every attempt to give them support. The Police need to know that somebody cares, I believe this type action on your part would immediately restore their confidence, efficiency, and make them approach their duties as police officers with a renewed aggressive attitude.

I feel they would work as hard as possible to put extra energy into fighting crime in the streets, launching an all out effort to attack criminals. The police of this country are on the firing line every day of their lives, they have a right to expect and receive the support of every member of government from the lowest point to the highest point. I am positive that this would help restore Law and Order to a troubled country.

I would further suggest that you appoint some grass root type policemen to this committee, police who know the pulse of the police world. This type police officer could be a valuable asset to a committee of this type. His input would be valuable, he talks the language of police and they will talk freely with him. This way you would get a true picture of exactly what is happening on the streets of our cities.

I again want to sincerely thank you on behalf of all the police officers of this country for allowing us to present these facts to you. We trust you will hear us and take affirmative action to help us, the police, help you and our Federal Government restore peace and tranquility to a great country.

Sincerely yours,

R. Pat Stark

R. Pat Stark
National President
Fraternal Order of Police

NSC/S ACTION PROFILE

U C S T S S N C O
Log in & Out ()

TO: ZB FROM: Pett DocDate: 5/14 # _____

Keywords: _____

Persons: BUNKER, ELLSWORTH

SUBJECT: Pres Call to Bunker on his 85th Birthday

	ACTION	COMMENT	INFO	Level
Reviews by ZB/Aspen				
State Secretary				
Western Europe/Canada				
USSR/Eastern Europe				
Far East				X
ERC & RCC				
Adm. Emt/No. Africa				
N/S Issues: SASIA/UN/LOS				
N/S: Latin America				
N/S: Africa				
State of Africa/Special				
Global Issues				
Security Analysis				
Economics				
Intelligence				
Congressional/Press				
Scientific				
Public Management				
Strategic Planning				

- Action Required:
- Prepare memo for President. ()
 - Prepare memo for Brezhnev. ()
 - Prepare reply for _____ ()
 - Prepare memo _____ to _____ ()
 - Prepare Sp. ()
 - Recommendations ()
 - Concurrence/Comments ()
 - Appropriate Action. ()
 - Any Action Necessary ()

Due Date: _____
COMMENTS:

Date	To	ISI	Action Required or Taken (Updates)	Due	Copy(s) to
5/14	ZB	X	Fwd to Pres for action		
5/15	Dress		D done		
5/17			C done		

4.

815 PM

2892

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 14, 1979

done
J

RECOMMENDED TELEPHONE CALL

TO: Ambassador Ellsworth Bunker

DATE: May 16, 1979

RECOMMENDED BY: Zbigniew Brzezinski *ZB.*

PURPOSE: To celebrate Bunker's 85th birthday.

BACKGROUND: Ellsworth Bunker will celebrate his 85th birthday at a party at the Metropolitan Club given by his colleagues May 16. You have agreed to telephone Bunker during the event.

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION:

1. As the seventh President you have served, I would like to take the opportunity presented by your 85th birthday to thank you on behalf of myself and others who have held this office for the career of loyal selfless and extraordinarily successful service you have given to America.
2. The distinguished record of your performance spans three decades. You are famous among your countrymen as a negotiator -- of the Panama Canal Treaties, and of the dispute between the Dutch and the Indonesians over West Irian. Few people know, however, that your negotiating tactics during the first Yemen crisis in the 1950's and your trips between the capitals of Jidda and Sanaa in a DC-3 established you as the father of shuttle diplomacy.

3. As Ambassador to Argentina, Italy, India, Nepal, and Vietnam, you demonstrated the ability to handle virtually any problem in any locale under any, including the most trying, circumstances.
4. More than a diplomat and a negotiator, you have shown yourself to be an effective and energetic grassroots politician. Your performance traveling throughout the nation in support of the Panama Canal Treaties was an example to us all, and earned my personal gratitude.
5. Old soldiers may fade away, but if you are any indication, Ellsworth Bunker, old diplomats just get younger and more effective.
6. I'm sure all of your countrymen whom you have served so well join me in wishing you many happy returns of the day.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

May 14 pm

FOR: RICK HUTCHESON
FROM: ROBERT GATES

Rick,

The attached has been coordinated
with Rick Hertzberg who has no
problem with this package.

Bob

#2892

MEMORANDUM

2892

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

May 14, 1979

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR: ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI
FROM: NICK PLATT *W.P. Platt*
SUBJECT: Presidential Call to Ellsworth Bunker

I have attached at Tab A talking points for a Presidential call to Ellsworth Bunker in honor of his 85th birthday this Wednesday.

RECOMMENDATION:

That you sign the attached memo.

Bob Pastor concurs.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/14 pm

Donna,

Original of Tab A plus
Bob's note to Rick is being
handcarried direct to
Rick Hutcheson first thing
Tuesday a.m., 5/15.

Wilma

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Bob,

Carla called to say that
Hertzberg has no problem
with this package.

klm, 5/14

<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR STAFFING
<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
<input type="checkbox"/>	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
<input type="checkbox"/>	NO DEADLINE
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

ACTION
FYI

<input type="checkbox"/>	ADMIN CONFID
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET
<input type="checkbox"/>	EYES ONLY

*classified
by The Pres!*

<input type="checkbox"/>	VICE PRESIDENT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EIZENSTAT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
<input type="checkbox"/>	KRAFT
<input type="checkbox"/>	LIPSHUTZ
<input type="checkbox"/>	MOORE
<input type="checkbox"/>	POWELL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WATSON
<input type="checkbox"/>	WEXLER
<input type="checkbox"/>	BRZEZINSKI
<input type="checkbox"/>	MCINTYRE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHULTZE

<input type="checkbox"/>	ARAGON
<input type="checkbox"/>	BOURNE
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUTLER
<input type="checkbox"/>	H. CARTER
<input type="checkbox"/>	CLOUGH
<input type="checkbox"/>	COSTANZA
<input type="checkbox"/>	CRUIKSHANK
<input type="checkbox"/>	FALLOWS
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRST LADY
<input type="checkbox"/>	GAMMILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	HARDEN
<input type="checkbox"/>	HUTCHESON
<input type="checkbox"/>	JAGODA
<input type="checkbox"/>	LINDER
<input type="checkbox"/>	MITCHELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	MOE
<input type="checkbox"/>	PETERSON
<input type="checkbox"/>	PETTIGREW
<input type="checkbox"/>	PRESS
<input type="checkbox"/>	RAFSHOON
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHNEIDERS
<input type="checkbox"/>	VOORDE
<input type="checkbox"/>	WARREN
<input type="checkbox"/>	WTSE

<input type="checkbox"/>	ADAMS
<input type="checkbox"/>	ANDRUS
<input type="checkbox"/>	BELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BERGLAND
<input type="checkbox"/>	BLUMENTHAL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BROWN
<input type="checkbox"/>	CALIFANO
<input type="checkbox"/>	HARRIS
<input type="checkbox"/>	KREPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	MARSHALL
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHLESINGER
<input type="checkbox"/>	STRAUSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	VANCE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/15/79

Jack Watson

The attached was returned in the President's outbox today and is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Hamilton Jordan
Stu Eizenstat

~~CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

cc: Watson
to Hunt: "Will now fall
back to Friday & report
back to Watson"
J

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 12, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: STU EIZENSTAT *Stu*
JACK WATSON *Jack*
SUBJECT: University of North Carolina

We both asked Secretary Califano to give us a brief memorandum on the North Carolina situation.

In order to break the log jam we both suggest you call Governor Hunt, express your understanding that HEW and the State have come very close to a settlement, and state that you would like to see the Governor and President Friday make another effort with HEW to resolve the last remaining issue -- which is how to state what might happen at the end of a 4-year period if the agreed-upon enhancement program does not work as expected.

*Jim
thought this
was a good
agreement -
Board/Morgan
not accept -
they are
inclined forward
litigation*

*HEW will
define -*

We both feel it is senseless for this controversy to continue over that relatively minor issue now that there is agreement on what initial steps should be taken to enhance black institutions.

consider ending

You might also indicate that you are concerned now that the lawyers representing the State have taken over, that the attorneys may have more of an interest in a continued and prolonged litigation than in a settlement of this dispute.

You could also state that you will instruct Secretary Califano to continue to bend every effort to reach a reasonable settlement.

The longer this dispute drags out the more unlikely it is that it can be settled as both sides will dig in their heels.

This would be a particularly propitious time to call Governor Hunt and attempt to resume negotiations since the federal court in North Carolina has recently extended

DETERMINED TO BE AN ADMINISTRATIVE
MATTER BY July DATE 6/26/80

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

the deadline for HEW's withholding of funds to UNC.

We think it would be ill-advised to quote any of the figures that the Secretary mentions on Page 2 of his memorandum since the State would point to the recent progress that has been made and would contest them. For example, the Secretary points out the disparity in new degree programs between the white institutions and black institutions, but there are twice as many white schools as black schools.



THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20201

May 11, 1979

RL
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Two weeks ago we came close to reaching an agreement in North Carolina. Unfortunately, as you are aware, we were unable to close, and North Carolina, with Charles Morgan as their attorney, commenced a lawsuit against HEW in the Federal District Court in North Carolina.

My discussions about settlement began with Jim Hunt, and with him we developed the basis for a settlement. Essentially, he agreed:

(1) to propose deferment of a tax cut and an immediate investment of \$40 million in the traditionally black schools;

(2) to add 22 new programs to traditionally black institutions;

(3) not to take steps at the traditionally white institutions, such as the placement of new programs, that would impede desegregation of the University system;

(4) should the enhancement program fail to result in any significant desegregation of the traditionally black schools after four years, to amend the plan to include different steps to desegregate.

I agreed to accept and endorse this program. While in the past we had insisted that North Carolina agree to eliminate, as the court approved criteria require and as Virginia and Georgia have done, some of the 59 courses or programs duplicated between white schools and black schools in geographic proximity, we agreed to defer the duplication issue and allow UNC the opportunity to demonstrate that their enhancement program would work.


Language to the foregoing effect was worked out with Jim Hunt and Bill Friday, and it was our understanding that it would be presented to the Board of Governors at their meeting on Friday, April 20.

Our best information indicates, however, that the carefully worked out proposal was never presented to the Board. Instead, the Board met and focused entirely on whether to file a lawsuit.

Since then, we have had almost no communication from the University. I have discussed the matter with Jim Hunt, and he has expressed disappointment and frustration with the Board of Governors.

In the event you talk to Jim Hunt, you should know that:

- Only six percent of the full-time undergraduates at the eleven traditionally white schools are black, and at the five traditionally black institutions, only four percent of the full-time undergraduates are white.
- Between 1974 and 1979, North Carolina has appropriated \$270 million for capital construction at the traditionally white schools and \$35 million for capital construction at the traditionally black schools--an average of \$24 million for each white institution and \$7 million for each black institution.
- Between 1974 and 1979, the University has authorized 40 new degree programs for the traditionally black schools and 126 for the traditionally white schools--an average of twelve new programs for each white school and eight new programs for each black school.


Joseph A. Califano, Jr.

ID 791998

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

DATE: 14 MAY 79

FOR ACTION:

INFO ONLY: THE VICE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: EIZENSTAT WATSON MEMO RE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

+++++
+ RESPONSE DUE TO RICK HUTCHESON STAFF SECRETARY (456-7052) +
+ BY: +
+++++

ACTION REQUESTED:

STAFF RESPONSE: () I CONCUR. () NO COMMENT. () HOLD.

PLEASE NOTE OTHER COMMENTS BELOW:

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
X	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY <i>VIA OMI</i>
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND
	NO DEADLINE
	LAST DAY FOR ACTION -

ACTION
FYI

	ADMIN CONFID
	CONFIDENTIAL
	SECRET
	EYES ONLY

	VICE PRESIDENT
	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	KRAFT
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON
	WEXLER
	BRZEZINSKI
	MCINTYRE
	SCHULTZE

	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BUTLER
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	COSTANZA
	CRUIKSHANK
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	MOE
	PETERSON
	PETTIGREW
	PRESS
	RAFSHOON
	SCHNEIDERS
	VOORDE
	WARREN
	WISE

	ADAMS
	ANDRUS
	BELL
	BERGLAND
	BLUMENTHAL
	BROWN
	CALIFANO
	HARRIS
	KREPS
	MARSHALL
	SCHLESINGER
	STRAUSS
	VANCE