THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE
Friday - October 14, 1977

6:45  Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski - The Oval Office.

7:00  Breakfast with Secretary Cyrus Vance and Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski - Roosevelt Room.

8:00  Meeting with Brigadier General Omar Torrijos Herrera, Chief of the Government and Commander of the National Guard, Panama. (Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski) - Oval Office and Cabinet Room. (60 min.)

9:30  Meeting with Congressional Group/Water Policy. (Mr. Frank Moore) - The State Dining Room. (30 min.)

10:30 Mr. Jody Powell - The Oval Office.

11:00 Mr. Charles Schultze - The Oval Office.

1:00  Meeting with Editors. (Mr. Jody Powell). The Cabinet Room. (30 min.)

1:45  Interview with Mr. Brandt Ayres, Publisher, Anniston, Alabama Star. (Mr. Jody Powell). The Oval Office. (15 min.)

4:00  Ambassador Robert Strauss - The Oval Office. (10 min.)

4:15  Reception for Members of the Finance Council of the Democratic National Committee. The State Floor. (20 min.)

7:40  Depart South Grounds via Motorcade en route First Baptist Church.

7:45  Attend Supper at The First Baptist Church.
Confuse me long.
Don't contradict.

Letter to Torrijos.
I say "I agree."
Let us publicize
90 to 10 years.
Escobar, who is sitting on
Toumey's left, has a political
base of his own in Panama
and is a great influence on
Toumey.

He might take personally
your reference to the "trouble"
caused by his interpretation.

You might soften this
by acknowledging that
testimony by Bunke and KOmowitz
had caused problems for
Toumey in Panama.

Escobar is a "hunk" and
it would be counterproductive
for him to think you are
blaming him for our problems
here.
Mr. President:

Could a statement be issued by you on behalf of both yourself and General Torrijos with the further statement that in due course this understanding will be properly formalized to assure no misunderstanding either here or in Panama?
Mr. President
* Frank and Cecil Andrus are going to go ahead at short notice. Said it would not hurt for you to be 10-15 minutes early.
General Torrijos and I have today agreed on the following statement of understanding of certain provisions in the Permanent Neutrality Treaty which we signed on September 7th.

This statement reflects what both parties intended in the Treaty and this understanding is being publicly announced in both countries.
Under the Treaty Concerning the Permanent Neutrality and Operation of the Panama Canal (the Neutrality Treaty), Panama and the United States have the responsibility to assure that the Panama Canal will remain open and secure to ships of all nations. The correct interpretation of this principle is that each of the two countries shall, in accordance with their respective constitutional processes, defend the Canal against any threat to the regime of neutrality, and consequently shall have the right to act against any aggression or threat directed against the Canal or against the peaceful transit of vessels through the Canal.

This does not mean, nor shall it be interpreted as a right of intervention of the United States in the internal affairs of Panama. Any United States action will be directed at insuring that the Canal will remain open, secure, and accessible, and it shall never be directed against the territorial integrity or political independence of Panama.

The Neutrality Treaty provides that the vessels of war and auxiliary vessels of the United States and Panama will be entitled to transit the Canal expeditiously. This is intended, and it shall so be interpreted, to assure the transit of such vessels through the Canal as quickly as possible, without any impediment, with expedited treatment, and in case of need or emergency, to go to the head of the line of vessels in order to transit the Canal rapidly.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

cc Callipono
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Weekly Report on HEW Activities

The following is my weekly report on significant activities in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare:

- **Cost Containment:** On Thursday, October 13, Rogers Health Subcommittee reported a hospital cost containment bill that is similar to the Administration proposal. The Rostenkowski Subcommittee resumed markup last week, and there is a good chance they will continue work next week. We are now beginning to sit down with staff from all four Health Subcommittees in both Houses in an attempt to work out a compromise that could pass Congress this year. The major stumbling block -- other than the shortness of time -- will be reconciling your bill with the Talmadge proposal which, in our judgment, still has serious deficiencies.

  Nonetheless, if Congress stays in session through November, there is a chance that we will get cost containment legislation this year. So let's keep the heat on.

- **Civil Rights:** HEW and the Chicago Board of Education reached agreement this week on plans for teacher desegregation and bilingual education of children, ending more than twelve years of negotiation and litigation. The plan sets a goal of the faculty in each school that will vary no more than ten percent above or below the racial-ethnic composition of the faculty in the city as a whole. Principals will be reassigned so as to eliminate any identifiable pattern of assignment based on race or sex. Additionally, Chicago will undertake the most extensive bilingual education program in the country. This settlement demonstrates that civil rights issues can be resolved fairly and justly when both parties are committed to good faith negotiations and to improving the quality of education for children.
Higher Education Speech: On Thursday, October 13, I spoke to the American Council on Education. I urged the higher education administrators to move aggressively to make athletic opportunities equal for men and women pursuant to Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972. The adjustment period under Title IX will end in less than a year, and the question of higher education's response to women's demands for greater parity in athletic opportunity will become a national issue.

Speech on Educational Testing: You asked me to speak on educational testing. I will do so on October 24 to the College Entrance Examination Board. Twenty-six States have adopted programs to measure students' basic skills (e.g., reading, writing, mathematics).

I will encourage development of State and local accountability programs, and announce HEW initiatives -- research, evaluation, teacher training and demonstration projects -- to assist States and localities in shaping and interpreting testing programs. At the same time, I will caution against a preoccupation with tests that I fear may be developing, and stress that many qualities we hope school children will acquire -- initiative, self-esteem, self-discipline, honesty, morality -- cannot be measured on standardized tests.

I also intend to indicate that creation of a national test would be a mistake at this time. Both my staff and I have talked to Admiral Rickover, and we have given careful thought to his proposal that a voluntary national test be written. Such a proposal raises the spectre of questionable power in the federal government: to set basic tests for all children. Moreover, there are an enormous number of unanswered questions about testing -- including what it can and cannot measure, what subjects should be included, what kinds of tests should be used, how to eliminate cultural bias, and how to interpret test scores. The next few years will give us a chance to explore some of these questions. But to freeze a single national model into place now would be premature, would reduce the opportunity for needed experimentation, and would give rise to legitimate concerns about nationalization of curriculum. The appropriate strategy, therefore, is to encourage diversity by assisting States and localities in implementing and evaluating a variety of testing and basic skills programs.
The speech will be controversial, but I hope it will begin to focus the national dialogue on these issues.

White House Conference on Families: The Vice President said in his speech at HEW two weeks ago that the White House Conference on Families would be held in 1979. We have set Washington as the site and November as the time, and a suggested Presidential message will be sent to your staff within two weeks.

The best White House conference ever held was the White House Conference on Aging, and a key element was a distinguished chairperson respected by all (in that case, Arthur Flemming, a former HEW Secretary). I suggest that we ask Lady Bird Johnson to be the chairperson of the White House Conference on Families. She was instrumental in starting Head Start, is a grandmother, and has a great deal of respect in the Black and Chicano communities. She is a capable administrator. I can then take care of all the various interest groups (Black, Chicano, etc.) by putting them in some kind of conference committee. I don't know whether she would do it, but I am going to see her at a luncheon in New York next week and would like to suggest it to her unless you would prefer to have Mrs. Carter be the chairperson.

Rosalyn said Lady Bird would help in any way.

Joseph A. Califano, Jr.
MR. PRESIDENT

CONGRESSMAN CHARLES GRASSLEY (R-IOWA) CALLED.

WANTED TO EXPRESS HIS CONCERNS ABOUT BARGE STRIKE IN NEW ORLEANS—WOULD LIKE TO HAVE TAFT-HARTLEY INVOKED. STRIKE HAS SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES FOR FARMERS.

T.K.

VALERIE PINSON TOOK THE CALL—NO NEED FOR YOU TO RETURN.
TO: The President
FROM: Walt Wurfe
RE: Your Q & A Session with Non-Washington Editors/News Directors, 1:00 p.m., Friday, October 14, Cabinet Room

This group will meet in the morning with Joan Claybrook, Grace Olivarez, and David Popper (State). After seeing you they will be briefed by Drew Days. (An agenda is attached.)

They come from 20 states. Nineteen are newspaper or editorial page editors. Seven are broadcasters. (A list of participants is attached.)

A photo pool will be in the Cabinet Room the first two minutes.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

AGENDA

October 14 Briefing
Editors and News Directors

8:30 - 8:50 a.m. Coffee and Donuts
8:50 - 9:00 a.m. Welcome
WALT WURFEL
Deputy Press Secretary
PATRICIA BARIO
Associate Press Secretary

9:00 - 10:00 a.m. JOAN CLAYBROOK
Administrator, National Highway
Traffic Safety Administration

10:00 - 10:15 a.m. Break

10:15 - 11:00 a.m. GRACIELA (GRACE) OLIVAREZ
Director
Community Services Administration

11:00 - 11:45 a.m. DAVID H. POPPER
Deputy to Ambassador Bunker
for Panama Canal Treaty Affairs

11:45 - 12:30 p.m. Buffet Lunch
CLAUDIA TOWNSEND
Editor, White House News Summary
DALE LEIBACH
White House Press Advance Office

12:30 - 12:45 p.m. En Route to Cabinet Room

12:45 - 1:00 p.m. JODY POWELL
Press Secretary to President Carter

1:00 - 1:30 p.m. Q and A with PRESIDENT CARTER

1:30 - 2:15 p.m. Filing Time

2:15 - 3:00 p.m. DREW DAYS III
Assistant Attorney General for
the Civil Rights Division
Department of Justice
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

OCTOBER 14 BRIEFING PARTICIPANTS

ALABAMA: Charles Greer, editor, Sylacauga News. Good friend of Cong. Nichols; twice weekly publication; against Panama treaties; concerns: textile mills and farm issues.

Patrick McCauley, executive editor, Huntsville Times. Newhouse; publisher good friend of Sen. Sparkman; reserving opinion on treaties; progressive for area it serves.


C.A. Scott, editor and publisher, Atlanta Daily World.

ILLINOIS: Jay Feldman, news director, WBBM-TV.

IOWA: Forrest Kilmer, editor, Quad City Times, Davenport. Far right editorials, unfavorable to Administration. Against Panama treaties.

LOUISIANA: Alec Gifford, news director, WVUE, New Orleans.


MICHIGAN: Grant Howell, editor, Royal Oak Tribune. Serves a Republican area (60% Ford). Editorially conservative, but thorough; reserving judgment on Panama treaties, but leaning against.

MINNESOTA: Dennis Anderson, news director, WDIO, Duluth.


NEW MEXICO: Richard Knipfing, KOAT-TV, Albuquerque.

NEW YORK: David Lampel, news director, WBLS Radio, New York (Black).


NORTH CAROLINA: Ralph Simpson, editor, Lexington Dispatch. Liberal for the Republican area it serves. Pro-treaties, pro-Administration.

OHIO: Arnold Rosenfeld, editor, Dayton Daily News. Liberal, aggressive paper; Rosenfeld is well-informed, internationally oriented; supportive of Administration; pro-treaties. Concerns: Dayton busing controversy; unemployment due to energy crisis last winter.


PENNSYLVANIA: Kenneth D. Tiven, news director, KYW-TV, Philadelphia.


Cynthia New, KDBC-TV, El Paso.


WASHINGTON: Dave Averill, editor and publisher, Kitsap County Herald. Moderate weekly; pro-Jackson and Magnuson.
WATER POLICY MEETING WITH THE HOUSE DELEGATIONS
OF 14 WESTERN STATES
Friday, October 14, 1977
9:30 a.m. (30 minutes)
State Dining Room

From: Frank Moore

I. PURPOSE

The Members of Congress from the western states were most directly affected by our decision on water projects, drought problems this year, and the national water policy, in general. They are greatly interested in the policy issues which will be addressed by the Water Resources Policy Study, and they want very much to have input into our water resources policy.

II. BACKGROUND, PARTICIPANTS & PRESS PLAN

A. Background: The meeting with the 23 western Senators was helpful in obtaining Congressional support for our water policy, and a similar meeting with the House Members will serve the same purpose. Many of these Members believe that our policy makers do not understand water problems in the west and how vital water is to their states.

Many Congressmen, particularly Republicans and conservative Democrats, will criticize Secretary Andrus' efforts to enforce the 160-acre limitation on Bureau of Reclamation projects. Many supporters of Secretary Andrus' efforts will be afraid to speak up for fear of how they will be reported to the press. It is important to remember that the 160-acre limitation is not new -- that although some modifications may be appropriate, it has been enforced since 1902. The new regulations were designed to make the enforcement consistent and uniform, since some landowners have been circumventing the law and the Bureau of Reclamation has been lax about enforcing it in some areas.

B. Participants: The President, Secretary Andrus, Members of the House of Representatives (see attached list), Frank Moore, Jim Free, and Kathy Fletcher.
C. **Press Plan:** White House photographer only.

III. **AGENDA AND TALKING POINTS**

A. **Agenda**

1. Frank Moore will seat the group and welcome them prior to your arrival (9:25 a.m.).
2. Your arrival and opening remarks (9:30 a.m.).
3. Additional comments by Secretary Andrus, time permitting.
4. Questions and answers.
5. Secretary Andrus may chair the meeting after your departure (10:00 a.m.).

B. **Talking Points**

1. Last week, 23 Senators from the states you represent met with me to discuss water policy. I thought that the Members of the House should be fully informed of that discussion and have a similar opportunity to discuss this critical issue -- western water policy. That is why we invited you here today.

2. I am particularly pleased to meet with a group representing all of the western states. I understand how important water is to every aspect of life in your states, and I want to assure you that regional differences are very much a part of our thinking. Secretary Andrus, as the lead person in our effort, is certainly well-acquainted with the special problems of the west.

3. With the Senators, we discussed the status of the Administration's water policy study which is being directed by Secretary Andrus.

4. In response to recommendations from the Congress and states and the public, the timetable for the study has been extended to allow for extensive public input through November 20. The process is an open one, and the states themselves are represented on the Task Force.

5. Recommendations will come to me in February. Because I do not know what the results and recommendations will be, I do not have a rigid timetable for implementation of the recommendations.
6. Some of you may have been concerned about some of the options published in the papers issued for public comment. Those papers were intended to solicit ideas and comments on a whole range of options and did not represent any decisions. In particular, I can assure you that we do not intend to propose a federal take-over of state water rights.

7. My goals in developing water resource reform proposals are to make certain that we have a rational planning framework for water resource decisions and that federal investments are both economically and environmentally sound.

8. Some of the Senators, also, expressed concern about the implementation of the 160-acre limitation for lands irrigated from Bureau of Reclamation water projects. As you know, we are not in a position to ignore existing law, but we are examining whether any statutory changes would be appropriate.

9. As you know, I will be visiting the west next week, including stops in Denver and Los Angeles, as well as visits to Detroit, Des Moines, and Omaha. In Denver, I am going to participate in a round table discussion on water policy and hope to learn a great deal from the people on the panel and in the audience.

10. I would like to announce this morning that Vice President Mondale will be travelling through several western states in November. The purpose of the trip is to learn first-hand about the unique problems of the west, particularly, water but, also, conservation, federal land use, agriculture, Indian issues, and energy and resource development. It will be essentially a learning and listening tour, with the Vice President making on-site visits to various projects and meeting with community and other groups. We will be notifying you and members of the Senate when the details of this trip are available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>DISTRICT DATA</th>
<th>ELECTED</th>
<th>1976%</th>
<th>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</th>
<th>SUPPORT %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bizz Johnson (D-Cal-1)</td>
<td>Redding. 45% white collar; 39% blue collar; 19% suburban; 6% Spanish; 2% black.</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td># 1 Public Works &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Clausen (R-Cal-2)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa. 47% white collar; 34% blue collar; 2% Indian; 6% Spanish.</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td># 2 Interior &amp; Insular Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Moss (D-Cal-3)</td>
<td>most of Sacramento. 62% white collar; 25% blue collar; 5% black; 9% Spanish; 44% central city; 56% suburban.</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td># 2 Interstate &amp; Foreign Commerce (Chairman, Oversight &amp; Investigation Subcommittee)</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Leggett (D-Cal-4)</td>
<td>Davis. 51% white collar; 30% blue collar; 11% Spanish; 6% black; 25% central city; 63% suburban.</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td># 7 Armed Services.</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Burton (D-Cal-5)</td>
<td>San Francisco. 69% white collar; 17% blue collar; 10% black; 5% Chinese; 54% central city; 46% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td># 12 Government Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillip Burton (D-Cal-6)</td>
<td>San Francisco. 58% white collar; 26% blue collar; 12% black; 18% Spanish; 100 central city.</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td># 7 Education &amp; Labor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Miller (D-Cal-7)</td>
<td>Richmond. 52% white collar; 35% blue collar; 10% Spanish; 9% black; 100% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td># 17 Education &amp; Labor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Dellums (D-Cal-9)</td>
<td>Berkley. 66% white collar; 22% blue collar; 21% black; 50% central city; 50% suburban.</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td># 16 Armed Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Stark (D-Cal-9)</td>
<td>Oakland. 52% white collar; 35% blue collar; 14% black; 12% Spanish; 28% central city; 72% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td># 9 District of Columbia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER</td>
<td>DISTRICT DATA</td>
<td>WHEN ELECTED</td>
<td>1976%</td>
<td>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</td>
<td>%AGE OF SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Edwards (D-Cal-10)</td>
<td>San Jose. 47% white collar; 40% blue collar; 26% Spanish; 35% central city; 35% suburban.</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>#4 Judiciary (Chairman, Civil and Constitutional Rights Subcommittee) #4 Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo Ryan (D-Cal-11)</td>
<td>San Francisco suburbs. 59% white collar; 29% blue collar; 12% Spanish; 100% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>#10 Government Operations (Chairman, Environment, Energy &amp; Natural Resources Subcommittee) #13 International Relations #17 Post Office &amp; Civil Service</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete McCloskey (R-Cal-12)</td>
<td>San Mateo. 60% white collar; 29% blue collar; 16% Spanish; 3% central city; 97% suburban.</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>#5 Government Operations (Ranking Minority, Gov't Information &amp; Individual Rights Subcommittee) #2 Merchant Marine &amp; Fisheries (Ranking Minority, Merchant Marine)</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman Mineta (D-Cal-13)</td>
<td>San Jose. 60% white collar; 29% blue collar; 16% Spanish; 58% central city; 42% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>#15 Budget #11 Public Works &amp; Transportation (Chairman, Public Buildings &amp; Grounds Subcommittee)</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McFall (D-Cal-14)</td>
<td>Stockton. 44% white collar; 33% blue collar; 15% Spanish; 4% black; 23% central city; 56% suburban.</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>#14 Appropriations (Chairman, Transportation Subcommittee)</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| B.F. Sisk (D-Cal-15)   | Fresno. 43% white collar; 31% blue collar; 21% Spanish; 34% central city; 33% suburban. | 1954         | 72.2  | #3 Rules | 62.5
<p>| Leon Panetta (D-Cal-16) | Santa Cruz. 47% white collar; 28% blue collar; 18% Spanish; 18% central city; 35% suburban. | 1976         | 53.4  | #24 Agriculture #16 House Administration | 75.8            |
| John Krebs (D-Cal-17)  | Fresno. 46% white collar; 28% blue collar; 24% Spanish; 14% central city; 35% suburban. | 1974         | 65.7  | #15 Agriculture #24 Interior &amp; Insular Affairs | 79.4            |
| William Ketchum (R-Cal-18) | Bakersfield. 44% white collar; 32% blue collar; 5% black; 15% Spanish; 15% central city; 77% suburban. | 1972         | 64.2  | #10 Ways and Means | 17.6            |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>DISTRICT DATA</th>
<th>WHEN ELECTED</th>
<th>1976%</th>
<th>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</th>
<th>%AGE OF SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bob Lagomarsino</td>
<td>Santa Barbara. 52% white collar;</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>#10 Interior &amp; Insular Affairs</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R-Cal-19)</td>
<td>29% blue collar; 2% black; 20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#10 International Relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spanish; 42% central city; 52%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Goldwater Jr.</td>
<td>Malibu Beach. 62% white collar;</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>#11 Public Works &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R-Cal-20)</td>
<td>26% blue collar; 11% Spanish;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#4 Science &amp; Technology (ranking Minority, Advanced Energy Technologies &amp; Energy Conservation Research, Development &amp; Demonstration Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42% central city; 58% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Corman</td>
<td>San Fernando Valley. 55% white</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>#6 Ways &amp; Means (Chairman, Public Assistance &amp; Unemployment Compensation Subcommittee)</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D-Cal-21)</td>
<td>collar; 34% blue collar; 4% black;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17% Spanish; 96% central city; 4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlos Moorhead</td>
<td>Los Angeles area. 63% white collar;</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>#10 Interstate &amp; Foreign Commerce</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R-Cal-22)</td>
<td>27% blue collar; 6% black; 10% Spanish;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#7 Judiciary (Ranking Minority, Administrative Law and Government Relations Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12% Central city; 88% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Beilenson</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 75% white collar;</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>#21 International Relations</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D-Cal-23)</td>
<td>16% blue collar; 7% Spanish;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#23 Judiciary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88% central city; 12% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#24 Science &amp; Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Waxman</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 69% white collar;</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>#23 Government Operations</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D-Cal-24)</td>
<td>20% blue collar; 16% Spanish;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#18 Interstate &amp; Foreign Commerce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5% Black; 98% central city; 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Roybal</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 39% white collar;</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>#20 Appropriations</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D-Cal-25)</td>
<td>38% blue collar; 5% black; 60% Spanish;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82% central city; 18% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Rousselot</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 59% white collar;</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>#4 Banking, Finance &amp; Urban Affairs (Ranking Minority, Financial Inst. Supervision, Regulations and Insurance)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R-Cal-26)</td>
<td>31% blue collar; 15% Spanish;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#5 Budget (Ranking Minority, Distributive Impacts of Budget &amp; Economic Policies Task Force)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER</td>
<td>DISTRICT DATA</td>
<td>WHEN ELECTED</td>
<td>1976%</td>
<td>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Dornan</td>
<td>Redondo Beach. 67% white collar; 23% blue collar; 25% central city; 75% suburban.</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>#11 Merchant Marine &amp; Fisheries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#11 Science &amp; Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yvonne Burke</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 54% white collar. 31% blue collar; 40% black; 12% Spanish; 70% central city; 30% suburban.</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>#28 Appropriations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gus Hawkins</td>
<td>Watts. 36% white collar. 46% blue collar; 59% black; 15% Spanish; 57% central city; 43% suburban.</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>#5 Education &amp; Labor (Chairman, Employment Opportunities Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#5 House Administration (Chairman, Printing Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Danielson</td>
<td>Monterey Park. 42% white collar; 48% blue collar; 42% Spanish; 100% suburban.</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>#24 International Relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#10 Judiciary (Chairman, Administrative Law and Gov't Relations Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#7 Veterans Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles H. Wilson</td>
<td>Hawthorne. 44% white collar; 44% blue collar; 93% suburban.</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>#6 Armed Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#4 Post Office &amp; Civil Service (Chairman, Postal Personnel &amp; Modernization Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Anderson</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 46% white collar; 41% blue collar; 8% black; 66% central city; 34% suburban.</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>#8 Merchant Marine &amp; Fisheries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#4 Public Works &amp; Transportation (Chairman, Aviation Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Clawson</td>
<td>Downy; 54% white collar; 36% blue collar; 18% Spanish; 100% suburban.</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>#4 Rules</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Hannaforú</td>
<td>Long Beach. 57% white collar; 32% blue collar; 42% central city; 58% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>#20 Banking, Finance &amp; Urban Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#13 Veterans Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Lloyd</td>
<td>West Covina. 52% white collar; 34% blue collar; 15% Spanish; 14% central city; 86% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>#22 Armed Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#12 Science &amp; Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER</td>
<td>DISTRICT DATA</td>
<td>WHEN ELECTED</td>
<td>1976%</td>
<td>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Brown (D-Cal-36)</td>
<td>47% white collar; 37% blue collar; 7% black; 18% Spanish; 46% central city; 54% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td># 7 Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Pettis (R-Cal-37)</td>
<td>San Bernadino. 67% white collar; 23% blue collar; 2% black; 9% Spanish; 7% central city; 93% suburban.</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>#10 Education &amp; Labor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Patterson (D-Cal-38)</td>
<td>Santa Ana. 48% white collar; 39% blue collar; 16% Spanish; 56% central city; 44% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>#12 Banking, Finance &amp; Urban Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Wiggins (R-Cal-39)</td>
<td>Los Angeles. 60% white collar; 28% blue collar; 11% Spanish; 33% central city; 67% suburban.</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>#4 House Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Badham (R-Cal-40)</td>
<td>Santa Ana. 64% white collar; 23% blue collar; 8% Spanish; 7% central city; 93% suburban.</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>#13 Armed Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Wilson (R-Cal-41)</td>
<td>San Diego. 63% white collar; 23% blue collar; 9% Spanish; 89% central city.</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>#1 Armed Services (and Ranking Minority on Intelligence &amp; Military Application of Nuclear Energy Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lionel Van Deerlin (D-Cal-42)</td>
<td>San Diego. 46% white collar; 36% blue collar; 19% Spanish; 11% black; 53% central city; 47% suburban.</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>#10 House Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clair Burgener (R-Cal-43)</td>
<td>El Cajon. 52% white collar; 30% blue collar; 16% Spanish; 9% central city; 75% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>#16 Appropriations (Ranking Minority, District of Columbia Subcommittee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%AGE OF SUPPORT</th>
<th>93.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER</td>
<td>DISTRICT DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Foley (D-Wash-5)</td>
<td>Spokane. 49% white collar; 28% blue collar; 35% central city; 24% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm Dicks (D-Wash-6)</td>
<td>Tacoma. 50% white collar; 36% blue collar; 32% central city; 47% suburban; 3% black.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Cunningham (R-Wash-7)</td>
<td>Seattle. 54% white collar; 33% blue collar; 7% black; 2% Spanish; 43% central city; 57% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teno Roncalio (D-Wyo-A.L.)</td>
<td>Cheyenne. 47% white collar; 30% blue collar; 0% central city; 0% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Young (R-Alaska-A.L.)</td>
<td>Juneau. 55% white collar; 30% blue collar; 3% black; 16% Indian; 0% central city; 0% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Rhodes (R-Ariz-1)</td>
<td>Tempe, Mesa. 52% white collar; 32% blue collar; 6% black; 1% Indian; 17% Spanish; 52% central city; 48% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo Udall (D-Ariz-2)</td>
<td>Tucson. 51% white collar; 32% blue collar; 27% Spanish; 59% central city; 20% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Stump (D-Ariz-3)</td>
<td>Yuma. 46% white collar; 36% blue collar; 19% Spanish; 4% Indian; 2% black; 36% central city; 26% suburban.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldon Rudd (R-Ariz-4)</td>
<td>Scottsdale. 56% white collar; 29% blue collar; 1% black; 1% Indian; 13% Spanish; 48% central city; 9% suburban.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above outlines the information about various members of Congress, including their districts, when elected, the percentage of support in 1976, their committee assignments, and their age of support.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>DISTRICT DATA</th>
<th>WHEN ELECTED</th>
<th>1976%</th>
<th>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Schroeder (D-Col-1)</td>
<td>Denver. 61% white collar; 24% blue collar; 14% Spanish; 10% black; 99% central city; 1% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>#18 Armed Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td># 8 Post Office and Civil Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Chairman, Employee Ethics &amp; Utilization Subcommittee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Wirth (D-Col-2)</td>
<td>Denver. 60% white collar; 28% blue collar; 17% central city; 83% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>#17 Interstate and Foreign Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#17 Science and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Evans (D-Col 3)</td>
<td>Colorado Springs. 43% white collar; 1964 33 blue collar; 23% Spanish; 28% central city; 17 suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>#18 Appropriations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Johnson (R-Col 4)</td>
<td>Fort Collins. 47% white collar; 30% blue collar; 10% Spanish; 0% central city; 20% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>#6 Agriculture (Ranking minority Forests Subcommittee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#9 Interior and Insular Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Ranking minority, Indian Affairs and Public Lands Subcommittee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Armstrong (R-Col-5)</td>
<td>Denver and Boulder. 55% white collar; 29% blue collar; 2% black; 9% Spanish; 26% central city; 68% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>#14 Appropriations (Ranking minority, Legislative Subcommittee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Symms (R-Idaho-1)</td>
<td>Caldwell, Boise. 43% white collar; 1972 34% blue collar; 15% central city; 10% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>#5 Agriculture (Ranking minority, Domestic Marketing, Consumer Relations, and Nutritions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#8 Interior and Insular Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Hansen (R-Idaho-2)</td>
<td>Idaho Falls. 43% white collar; 31% 1974 blue collar; 10% foreign stock; 6% central city; 1% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>#6 Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Ranking minority, Domestic Monetary Policy Subcommittee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#8 Veterans’ Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Andrews (R-N.D.- A.L.)</td>
<td>Bismarck. 42% white collar; 21% blue 1963 collar; 24% foreign stock; 9% central city; 3% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>#5 Appropriations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les AuCoin (D-Ore-1)</td>
<td>Portland. 54% white collar; 30% blue 1974 collar; 2% Spanish; 15% central city; 48% suburban.</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>#17 Banking, Finance &amp; Urban Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#20 Merchant Marine and Fisheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER</td>
<td>DISTRICT DATA</td>
<td>WHEN ELECTED</td>
<td>1976%</td>
<td>COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Ullman (D-Ore-2)</td>
<td>Salem. 43% white collar; 34% blue collar; 12% central city; 29% suburban.</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>Chairman, Ways &amp; Means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Duncan (D-Ore-3)</td>
<td>Portland. 51% white collar; 34% blue collar; 4% black; 59% central city; 41% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>#31 Appropriations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Pressler (R-S.D.-1)</td>
<td>Sioux Falls. 42% white collar; 23% blue collar; 1% Indian; 22% central city; 7% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>#7 Education and Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Abdnor (R-S.D.-2)</td>
<td>Pierre. 40% white collar; 21% blue collar; 8% Indian; 0% central city; 0% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>#9 Public Works and Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunn McKay (D-Utah-1)</td>
<td>Ogden, Provo. 50% white collar; 33% blue collar; 4% Spanish; 28% central city; 41% suburban.</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>#22 Appropriations (Chairman, Military Construction Subcommittee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Marriott (R-Utah-2)</td>
<td>Salt Lake City. 53% white collar; 32% blue collar; 5% Spanish; 33% central city; 53% suburban.</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>#11 Interior and Insular Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joel Pritchard (R-Wash-1)</td>
<td>Seattle, Everette. 65% white collar; 23% blue collar; 2% Spanish; 68% central city; 32% suburban.</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>#8 Government Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd Meeds (D-Wash-2)</td>
<td>Lake Stevens. 48% white collar; 37% blue collar; 11% central city; 54% suburban.</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>#4 Interior and Insular Affairs (Chairman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Bonker (D-Wash-3)</td>
<td>Olympia. 42% white collar; 42% blue collar; 1% black; 2% Spanish; 0% central city; 36% suburban.</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>#17 International Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike McCormack (D-Wash-4)</td>
<td>Richland. 0% central city; 25% suburban.</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>#5 Science and Technology (Chairman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#7 Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT AND MRS. CARTER

FROM: GRETCHEN POSTON

DATE: 13 October 1977

SUBJECT: RECEPTION
Finance Council/Democratic National Committee
14 October 1977 - 4:00-5:00 P.M.

SCENARIO

4:00 P.M. Guests arrive Southwest Gate, enter via Diplomatic Entrance, and proceed to East Room.

4:15 P.M. The PRESIDENT and MRS. CARTER meet at ground-floor elevator, and proceed to East Room.

(U.S.M.C. Ensemble and piano in East Room.)

Presidential remarks.

(Standing mike to be in place in front of curtain along east wall.)

At conclusion of remarks, Principals will mix-and-mingle with group.
FOR E.P.S. USE – Gate List:

14 October 1977

Southwest Gate

Aguiar, Alex
Amos, John

Baldarelli, Lee
Barrie, Bob
Bash, Michael
Billett, John
Binder, Martin
Blankenship, Lewis Carroll
Brice, Bill
Brill, Dan
Brittain, Jack
Brittan, Ann
Brown, Seymour W.

Carter, Calvin
Cassell, Marwin
Chaikin, Sol
Cohen, Stephen
Coopersmith
Crowley, Joseph
Croy, Dan
Cunningham, Kari Rene
Cunningham, Kenneth R.
Cutler, Mitchell

DeFazio, Hugh
Denton, William
dizerega, Thomas
Dogole, S. Harrison
Duke, Hon. Andier B.
Dunfey, John P.
Dutta, Dr. Manorajan
Dyer, Charles

Faske, Paul
Feehan, Thomas
Flanagan, Dan
Flynn, John
Fogg, Edward III

Gerber, Richard
Gevirtz, Don
Glover, Eugene
Goldstein, Dr. Marvin
Colemon, Harry
Goodman, Karen
Goodstein, David
Green, Steven

Hackler, Loyd
Hammer, Dr. and Mrs. Armand
Hart, Milledge A.
Hartman, Joe
Hay, Mr. and Mrs. Jess
Hendrickson, Paul
Huskey, Mr. and Mrs. Everette

Johnson, Joe
Johnson, Larry
Johnson, Travis
Johnson, W.W. (Hootie)
Jones, Robert T.
FOR E.P.S. USE - Gate List: Reception 4:00-5:00 P.M.
14 October 1977 Southwest Gate

Karth, Joseph E.
Katz, Morris
Kee, Norman Lau
Kelley, Peter
Kendall, Philip J.
King, Don
Kinnard, John
Kluczynski, Melanie
Knecht, Louis B.
Koizim, Harvey
Konoshima, Joji
Krim, Arthur B.
Kurtz, Dr. Anthony

Lachman, Walter
Landow, Nate
Lapin, Ray
Laudun, Max
LauKee, Norman
Lee, J.D.
Leibowitz, Abraham
Levin, Adam
Lieberman, Melvin
Linkous, Dr. Clayton Jr.
Lipman, Natalie
Low, Paul

Marcus, Ed
Margason, Calvin
Martin, Glenn
Mattson, Everett
May, Timothy J.
McAdams, Herbert
McArthur, John
McCarthy, George
McMullan, Pat
McVicker, Dr. B.H.
Michaels, Larry
Milgrom, Mr. and Mrs. Henry
Moss, Diane
Murtha, Harold

Newsom, Mickey

O'Connor, Pat

Pike, Hugh
Pincus, Lionel
Plishner, J.P.
Pomerance, Ms. Jo
Porter, Paul
Pozen, Walter

Quick, Richard
Quinn, Paul
Quinn, Tom

Ragan, William F.
Rettner, Ronald
Robinson, J. Mack
FOR E.P.S. USE - Gate List: Reception 4:00-5:00 P.M.
14 October 1977

Southwest Gate

Sale, James
Sale, Rand
Saltzman, Arnold
Server, Jack
Schaefer, Allan
Schoenfeld, Esther
Setzer, Johnsie
Sexton, John
Shapiro, Lester
Simon, Merrill
Snyder, Jim
Sonnenberg, Maurice
Soter, Angelo
Stephens, Jack
Stone, Sam
Stuckey, Gene
Stuckey, Talmadge
Studer, Dale
Sturdivant, Michael
Summer, James A.

Tabenken, Gerald
Tanner, Harold
Temple, Buddy
Terney, Champ
Troup, Bob
Tway, Jack C.
Tyson, Don

Vacca, Frank
Vallas, Michael

Wallace, Don
Watkins, Kent
Watson, Welcom
Weems, AnnMarie
Weinstein
Westwater, Joseph
Williams, J.D.

Young, John
Zimmerman, Harriet
Zimmerman, Jerome
Zoslow, Harold M.
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: HUGH CARTER

SUBJECT: Weekly Mail Report (Per Your Request)

Below are statistics on Presidential and First Family:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOMING</th>
<th>WEEK ENDING 10/7</th>
<th>WEEK ENDING 10/14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presidential</td>
<td>47,330</td>
<td>39,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Lady</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>1,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other First Family</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>48,835</td>
<td>41,190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BACKLOG</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presidential</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>7,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Lady</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>6,870</td>
<td>8,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRIBUTION OF PRESIDENTIAL MAIL ANALYZED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency Referrals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White House Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOT INCLUDED ABOVE

| Form Letters and Post Cards | 16,186 | 25,700 |
| Mail Addressed to WH Staff  | 16,203 | 14,930 |

cc: Senior Staff
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>PRO</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>COMMENT ONLY</th>
<th>NUMBER OF LETTERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support for Palestine Liberation Organization Representation at Peace Talks</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Tuition Relief Tax Credit S. 834, H.R. 3403</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Tougher Restrictions on Steel Imports</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Panama Canal Treaties</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Separate Cabinet Level Department of Education</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for President Carter's Statements re: Oil Companies During 10/13 Press Conference (1)</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions re: Tax Reform</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Regulation of Natural Gas</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Gun Control H.R. 8128</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Neutron Bomb</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Labor Reform Act H.R. 8410, S. 1833 (2)</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>11,443</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(See Notes Attached)
NOTES TO MAJOR ISSUE TALLY

Week Ending 10/14/77

(1) SUPPORT FOR PRESIDENT'S STATEMENTS RE: OIL COMPANIES (69% Pro)

About 350 Mailgrams and telegrams from all over the country followed President Carter's 10/13 press conference. Typical "pro" messages say: "Give 'em hell Jimmy" or "We are with you and your energy program."

Most of the "con" messages (29%) claim the President's comments were irresponsible or too political.

(2) SUPPORT FOR LABOR REFORM ACT

The majority of writers (75% con) complain that the "Labor Reform Act of 1977" is strictly a pro-union bill. Most of the supporters of the bill (23%) mention that they are union members, and they urge the President to endorse the bill in the interest of "democracy and decency."
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Jack Watson

SUBJECT: MY VISIT TO THE SOUTH BRONX

As I discussed briefly with you on Wednesday, I am to visit the South Bronx on Monday and Tuesday of next week. So far, we have been able to keep the visit at a very low profile and the officials in New York with whom I have been working to construct the visit have been extremely cooperative both in making arrangements for various meetings and in keeping the matter confidential.

The following is a brief outline of my agenda for the two-day visit:

Monday, October 17, 1977

2:00-5:00 p.m. Tour of various neighborhoods in the South Bronx and a meeting with representatives of the People's Development Corporation (the group that you spoke with and which invited you inside to visit their building). As you know, the People's Development Corporation is a self-help organization which is rehabilitating apartments through the use of community development and CETA funds supplemented by "sweat-equity". The group has a good reputation.

5:30 p.m. Private meeting with Ed Costikyan, leader of Ed Koch's transition team and the man slated to be Koch's First Deputy Mayor. Herman Badillo may join us for that meeting. I have talked personally with Badillo and arranged to have breakfast with him on Tuesday morning, regardless of whether or not he can make the Monday afternoon meeting.

7:00-9:00 p.m. Meeting with Mayor Beame, First Deputy Mayor Don Kummerfeld, Victor Marrero (President of New York City Planning Commission).
9:00 p.m. Dinner with John Zuccotti and Don Kummerfeld. (As you know, John was Don Kummerfeld's predecessor as First Deputy Mayor and is a housing and economic development lawyer. He is a very bright and knowledgeable man and is well-regarded by the business community in New York City.)

Tuesday, October 18, 1977

7:30 a.m. Breakfast with Congressman Herman Badillo.

8:30 a.m. Private meeting with Bob Abrams, Bronx Borough President.

9:30-11:30 a.m. Meeting and tour with representatives of the South Bronx Community Organization.

11:30-1:00 p.m. Luncheon meeting with the South Bronx Economic Development Corporation, an EDA-funded group which is interested in business revitalization in the South Bronx. The group includes bankers, business and industry leaders.

1:00-3:00 p.m. Meeting and tour of another South Bronx neighborhood with representatives of the South Bronx Community Housing Corporation.

3:00 p.m. Meeting with Ron Shiffman. Mr. Shiffman is at the Pratt Institute in Brooklyn and has spent considerable time in the last few years studying the causes and implications of the deterioration in the South Bronx. He has been highly recommended to me as a well-informed and creative man.

I shall put together a memorandum on my trip next Wednesday and recommend further steps for us to consider.
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: RICK HUTCHESON

SUBJECT: Status of Presidential Requests (Complete Monthly Listing)

EISENSTAT:

1. (2/16) Prepare a draft message to Congress on the opportunity for regulatory reform and consult with the Cabinet -- In Progress, (with OMB, expected 11/18, previously expected 10/18)

2. (6/15) (and Kreps) Comment on the letter from Senators Magnuson and Hollings on the Nation's ocean program -- In Progress, (Draft PRM sent to Departments 10/13, final decision memo to the President expected 11/1).

3. (7/18) (Confidential) Check with the Attorney General and comment on the Morris Dees memo concerning the death penalty in the U.S. -- In Progress, (expected 10/19).

4. (8/5) The President would like a study done to determine if the curriculum at the service academies can/should be more narrowly focused on their future careers -- In Progress, (study should be completed by 11/1).

5. (8/13) This doesn't seem right. Work on Jack Anderson article on 8/13 regarding giant utilities "phantom taxes" -- In Progress, (expected 10/25).

6. (8/17) We can issue guidelines from the President regarding new gift procedure for foreign gifts (H.R. 6689); prepare draft -- In Progress, (GSA and State will be meeting 10/31; final recommendation expected 11/25).

7. (8/22) Begin preparing for action regarding options to reduce oil imports -- In Progress, (with Schlesinger task force).


11. (10/6) (and Schultze) Comment and draft reply regarding memo from Sen. DeConcini regarding copper -- In Progress, (with OMB, CEA, NSC and DPS, expected 10/20, previously expected 10/14).

LIPSHUTZ:

1. (9/28) Check with ERDA and prepare a brief answer for the President regarding letter from Cong. Dingell concerning safeguarding of special nuclear weapons -- In Progress, (with Schlesinger, expected 10/21).

BRZEZINSKI:

1. (7/11) (and Brown) Keep the President informed about certification of qualifications of appointees to non-career jobs -- In Progress, (with DoD and CSC, expected 10/25).

2. (7/28) Assess briefly the number of federal employees abroad, the current number seems excessive. (7/30) O.K. -- The President's concern is the large number of non-State Department personnel in our embassies -- In Progress, (with OMB and State, to be discussed at Budget Review Session on International Affairs 12/15/77).

3. (8/4) (and the Vice President) Strengthen for later release (end of August) (draft White House release for foreign intercept operations/commercial telecommunications) -- Done.

4. (10/12) (Duncan) Please comment on 10/12 article in the ATLANTA CONSTITUTION about "Army missing gear worth $118 million" -- Done, (attached to this week's Defense summary).
JORDAN:

1. (2/25) Let's firm up the Renegotiation Board -- In Progress, (no further Congressional action expected this year; most probably dead).

2. (5/15) (and the Vice President, Moore) Work out the Judicial Selection Committee in states with no Democratic Senators; let Democrats do it. Setting up top flight Selection Committee comes first -- Done, (10 of 13 announced, no additional Committees will be set up until next vacancy occurs).


4. (8/4) (First Lady) Comment on 8/2 memo from Jordan concerning two vacancies on Advisory Council on Historical Preservation -- In Progress, (the First Lady's recommendation of Joseph Mahan is being processed to fill a 2/78 vacancy, pending a security check). (9/29) Put Calvin Carter (or his wife Pat) on the Historical Preservation Board -- In Progress, (to fill current vacancy, pending security check).


6. (9/12) (Butler) What has been/can be done regarding a job for Don Cox of Kentucky -- In Progress, (with White House Personnel Office; Cox to interview with DOE and HUD in late October).

7. (10/7) (and Hutcheson) At Ken's next meeting with the President, let the President learn what else is being done (successfully) to raise money; the Republicans have several million dollars -- Done.

8. (10/11) See the President regarding letter to Cong. Don Fraser concerning Winograd Commission -- In Progress, (expected by 10/21).
SCHULTZE:

1. (8/24) Go ahead and prepare the economic impact statement for the President concerning the Senate Finance Committee proposal on Social Security financing and the statement to be given to Senator Long -- In Progress, (with CEA, OMB and the Domestic Council; new proposal expected 10/18, after 10/15 meeting with Califano, previously expected 10/13).

MCINTYRE:

1. (7/11) Our emergency loan/grant criteria are too lax; check with Secretary Bergland -- In Progress, (interagency working group preparing option paper as part of '79 budget review, expected late December).

VICE PRESIDENT:

1. (10/6) Check with Stan regarding letter from Howard Bucknell concerning Dr. Aristid Grosse's comments about Persian Gulf Oil -- In Progress, (with Admiral Turner, expected 10/19).

POWELL:

1. (10/7) (and Jagoda) What kind of interview?, regarding 10/3 memo concerning Panama Canal and television -- Done.

ADAMS:

1. (8/22) Keep the President informed about Amtrak heavy rail repair facility -- In Progress, (expected in 10/21 weekly report, previously expected 10/10).

ATTORNEY GENERAL:

1. (8/3) Why not support this merit selection proposal? I'm for it. (re Germond & Whitcover column concerning merit selection of judges) -- Done.
WATSON:

1. (10/6) Check on letter from Nancy Abrams, Miami Beach, concerning Data Dynamics with Charles Duncan; use no influence -- In Progress, (expected 10/20).

2. (10/11) Follow-up on meeting with Pennsylvania Congressional Delegation of 10/11 to discuss economic consequences of recent helicopter contract awards to Sikorsky Aircraft of Connecticut. Prepare a memo, then see the President with it -- In Progress, (expected 10/28).

SCHLESINGER:


HUTCH!ESON:


2. (10/11) (and Hugh Carter, Costanza) What was former salary of Deputy Assistant for Public Liaison -- Done.

ANDRUS:

1. (9/24) Before any final decision is made, please have someone brief the President on the Park Service plans for Cumberland Island, Georgia -- In Progress, (expected 10/25).

HARRIS:

1. (8/11) Push this; work with Lehman, Pepper, Stone, Childs and condominium groups regarding condominium recreation leases -- In Progress, (expected 10/20, previously expected 10/13).
RAFSHOON:

1. (9/13) Proceed with plans regarding National Arts Festival; then see the President before final commitment -- In Progress, (expected 11/31).
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION FOR STAFFING FOR INFORMATION FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FYI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONDALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSTANZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIZENSTAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JORDAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPSHUTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POWELL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHULTZE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARAGON</th>
<th>KRAFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOURNE</td>
<td>LINDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRZEZINSKI</td>
<td>MITCHELL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUTLER</td>
<td>MOE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARP</td>
<td>PETERSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. CARTER</td>
<td>PETTIGREW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOUGH</td>
<td>POSTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FALLOWS</td>
<td>PRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRST LADY</td>
<td>SCHLESINGER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARDEN</td>
<td>SCHNEIDERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUTCHESON</td>
<td>STRAUSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAGODA</td>
<td>VOORDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KING</td>
<td>WARREN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rick--

please send me a copy of this
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
October 14, 1977

Hamilton Jordan
Jack Watson
Jim McIntyre

The attached letters were signed and approved by the President today. The signed originals have been forwarded to Bob Linder for appropriate handling and delivery.

Rick Hutcheson

WHITE HOUSE CLEARANCE FOR FY '78 CHAIRMAN DESIGNEES OF THE FEDERAL REGIONAL COUNCILS

cc: Bob Linder
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: HAMILTON JORDAN
     JIM McINTYRE
     JACK WATSON

SUBJECT: White House Clearance for FY '78 Chairman Designees of the Federal Regional Councils

Attached for your review and approval are the names of ten individuals nominated to become Federal Regional Council Chairpersons. Under Executive Order 11647, the President names the Chairpersons for one year renewable terms.

The Chairpersons must be drawn from among the regional directors of Departments which are members of the FRCs. The more distribution of chairmanships among the Departments the better. The ten nominees are drawn from eight Departments.

These individuals have been nominated by their various Secretaries/Administrators and unanimously approved by the Under Secretaries Group. The term of office will be for FY '78, which runs from October 1, 1977 until September 30, 1978.

Assuming clearance, we have attached letters for your signature that will inform the individuals of their designation.

Attachment

Jim Fallows edited the attached letter. If you sign it, we will have the other nine (identical) letters signed by the signature pen.

--Rick
**PROPOSED FY '78 FRC CHAIRPERSONS**

October 1, 1977 to September 30, 1978

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Current Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Boston</td>
<td>Ivan Ashley</td>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>Regional Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II New York</td>
<td>Eckardt Beck</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Regional Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Philadelphia</td>
<td>Thomas Maloney</td>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>Regional Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Atlanta</td>
<td>Sara Craig*</td>
<td>HEW</td>
<td>Principal Regional Official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Chicago</td>
<td>Loran Wittner</td>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Regional Representative of the Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI Dallas</td>
<td>William Harris</td>
<td>DOL</td>
<td>Regional Administrator (ETA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII Kansas City</td>
<td>John Kemp</td>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>Regional Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII Denver</td>
<td>Betty J. Miller</td>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>Regional Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX San Francisco</td>
<td>Bill Arntz</td>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Regional Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Seattle</td>
<td>Bernard Kelly</td>
<td>HEW</td>
<td>Principal Regional Official</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agencies Represented - 8**

- HEW - 2
- DOC - 1
- HUD - 2
- DOL - 1
- CSA - 1
- DOT - 1
- EPA - 1
- DOE - 1

* Other regional directors in the Atlanta region nominated by their departments are:
  - Russ Marane (HUD)
  - Paul Hemmann (DOC)
To Bill Arntz

I am pleased to inform you that I am designating you as Chairperson of the Federal Regional Council for Region IX. You have been recommended by Administrator Harris and approved by the Under Secretaries Group for Regional Operations. This designation will be effective October 1, 1977, and will end on September 30, 1978, or earlier if required by administrative considerations.

I look to the Federal Regional Councils to develop close working relationships with State and local governments and better coordination among Federal offices.

As you may know, I have taken a series of actions to streamline and simplify Federal management of the grant-in-aid system. The Federal Regional Councils should help implement this initiative in the field. I am relying on you to identify problems and potential solutions for management improvement in concert with State and local officials in your region, particularly when two or more Federal agencies are involved.

I am confident that, through your efforts and the support and cooperation of your fellow members on the Federal Regional Council under the leadership of the Under Secretaries Group, significant progress will be made this next fiscal year. You have my full support and the support of the Federal departments in your efforts.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Arntz
Regional Administrator
Department of Energy
111 Pine Street
San Francisco, California 94111
MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

October 13, 1977

TO: The President

FROM: Walt Wurfel

SUBJECT: Your 1:45 p.m. Friday, October 14, interview with Brandt Ayres

"Brandy" Ayres, publisher of the Anniston (ALA) Star is, as you know, an early supporter. He has twice been in for an editor's briefing.

This 15-minute interview is in response to Jody's promise that Ayres would be one of the first journalists from an individual newspaper to interview you. Jody will accompany him into your office.

Ayres will be putting a Southern perspective on his questions about your first-year experiences in the White House.

Ayres' column is syndicated to about 30 newspapers including, occasionally, the Washington Post.
Legal Basis for DOI's Proposed Excess Land Sales Regulations

1. The Reclamation Act of 1902, provides that people receiving subsidized Federal Water can only own 160 acres and must live on the land or "in the neighborhood." The Courts have held that the purpose of the Act was to create family farms and spread the Federal subsidy widely. The Act authorized the Secretary to issue regulations to carry out the purpose of the Act.

2. 1926, The Omnibus Adjustment Act was passed which required that land in excess of 160 acres ("excess lands") be sold at "pre-water" prices and required the Secretary to approve all sales. DOI lawyers took the position that this Act repealed the residency requirement by implication. Since 1926:
   . The residency requirement has not been enforced.
   . No regulations concerning excess land sales were issued. Sales were approved on a "case by case" basis.

3. In 1972, a District Court in the Ben Yellen case held that residency is required BUT also held that the Imperial Irrigation District was not subject to the 160 acreage limitation.

4. In August, 1976, a District Court in the National Land For People case:
   . ordered the Department to "forthwith initiate public rule making . . . respecting the criteria and procedures to be used . . . to approve excess land sales under the federal reclamation laws . . . "
   . enjoined the Secretary from approving any sales in Westlands Water District until rules are adopted
   . required DOI to report our progress to the Court every 30 days.
   (In June, 1977, the Secretary decided not to approve sales in other Districts as well until the rules are final.)

5. On August 17, 1977, the 9th Circuit Court in the Ben Yellen case left standing the residency requirement but held that the Imperial Irrigation District is subject to the 160 acre limitation and excess land sales regulations.

6. On August 22, 1977, DOI issued proposed regulations on excess land sales as required by the Court which carry out the purpose of the Act of 1902—including a residency requirement.

DOI 10/14/77
FROM SECRETARY ANDRUS FOR THE PRESIDENT.

(FRANK MOORE HAS COPIES FOR THOSE ATTENDING THE MEETING LAST WEEK ON WATER POLICY.)
Mr. President:

If this memo seems incoherent, it is because we wrote it at 2:00 a.m.

One of the objectives of the State Department was to have possible clarification language nailed down going into the meeting. Torrijos was completely uninterested in the details or the wording of the statement.

He and his key staff have had it for five days. They had it tonight before them in Spanish. I assumed from their reluctance to discuss it that while they were not excited about having to explain new language to the people of Panama, they were reconciled to doing it because they realize it is important to us politically. We talked about the clarification language in terms of the need for a joint statement.

Ham
MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: HAMILTON JORDAN AND BOB PASTOR
SUBJECT: Meeting with General Torrijos

We spent about six hours tonight with General Torrijos at the Panamanian Embassy talking mostly about the problems of gaining ratification for the treaties in our respective countries.

We did not dwell on the details of the statement which was drafted by Warren Christopher in conjunction with the Senators in an attempt to clarify certain points. Copies of our statement had been translated into Spanish by the Panamanians and circulated to their negotiators and key members of their staff. General Torrijos was not interested in discussing the contents of that document, but was more concerned about why we needed such a statement at this time. Our impression is that they are reconciled to having to do something to help us with "our" political problem, but Torrijos would like to think that the fact he is meeting with you will be sufficient. We told him that clarification was essential.

Torrijos is very concerned about the political problems which have emerged in Panama while he has been travelling through Europe during the past three weeks. He doesn't doubt that the treaties will be ratified in the plebiscite in 10 days, but he is bothered by the increasing criticism being directed at the treaties and himself. He is particularly worried about how his meeting with you to "clarify" treaties so recently signed will be interpreted in Panama. We believe that you will find him very reluctant to do anything until he has had a chance to return to Panama and evaluate the situation there. We believe getting a commitment from him as to language is possible, but believe that he will want to wait until he gets back to Panama to make any public statement.
One obvious fact is that the Panamanians have a very limited and superficial understanding of the way our government functions and of the ratification process. They see the President as the most powerful person in the world and have difficulty comprehending why you are having problems getting the Senate to ratify this treaty.

In explaining the need for a clarifying statement of some kind, we noted that in the process of trying to sell a single product to two markets, both sides had made statements which antagonized the buyers in the other's market. In the U.S., several Senators who had supported the treaties, now tell us they won't vote for it unless several provisions are clarified. If we don't recapture these Senators and the momentum now, before the Panamanian plebiscite, we will lose the treaties.

We told them that key Senators had worked with us in developing some language which tried to deal with the political objections raised in both countries. To the extent that we used this same language to clarify these differences, we would be winning votes in the Senate for the treaties. If we changed or modified this language, we would risk losing their support.

Torrijos never explicitly said that he accepted the language. Panamanian negotiator Escobar made clear that he had problems with the third provision -- closure due to unprofitability -- primarily because he felt that it had been considered in the negotiations and subsequently dropped. Since it wasn't in the treaty, he argued that we couldn't very well have a clarifying statement on it. While noting that the neutrality treaty incorporated the concept of an "open" Canal, we said that we didn't want to engage in a "legalistic" argument over what is essentially a political issue. (Lewis had told us before that Torrijos had made this same argument a number of times.)

Both sides raised hypothetical questions about the meaning of the treaties, and agreed that if we answered such questions differently, we would just invite future problems which could make ratification of the treaties impossible.

**Scenario**

Torrijos would very much enjoy talking privately with you for about 10 or 15 minutes before the meeting, and this offers the opportunity for you to impress upon him the need for his complete agreement on the text of the "Joint Statement."
Talking Points:

-- Torrijos is very proud of the trip he has just completed to ten countries. You should inquire about it, compliment him on the serious attention it received, and seek the reaction of the Heads of State to the treaty. We would suggest you ask him for a brief report at the outset of the group meeting -- believe that this will set a good tone for discussion.

-- Treaty will not be ratified in this country unless these key points are clarified.

-- If these clarifications do not take place before the Panamanian plebiscite, opponents of the treaties here will argue that the Panamanian people have voted for a treaty that they did not understand.

-- It is possible to clarify the differences raised in both countries with language which is mutually beneficial.

-- Because some key Senators were involved in developing the language which was presented as a working draft, we would be jeopardizing their support if we changed their draft significantly.

-- For that same reason, we tried to draft that language in a way that was sensitive to the politics of Panama. This is the reason for the "non-intervention" clause.

-- And while we are not very flexible on the language for clarification, we would defer to General Torrijos as to the best time and mechanism for making public this clarification.

-- Lastly, there remains the problem of trying to ensure that no new discrepancies emerge. It's important for Torrijos to recognize the problems we will have if the Panamanians interpret the treaties differently than the way we do.
The White House
Washington

October 14, 1977

Secretary Blumenthal

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: John Heimann's Response to James Langford
Hon. John G. Heimann  
Comptroller of the Currency  
Administrator of National Banks  
Washington, D. C. 20219  

Dear Mr. Heimann:

I am sure that I am a stranger to you, but you are now well known to me. I have been a director of the Calhoun First National Bank for twenty-seven years and have proudly seen the Bank serve the community where I was born and raised.

Most of the net income I have ever worked for has gone to purchase stock in this Bank; we worked long and hard with your examiners to be better. I had confidence and trust in your department and in the assurance that matters discussed and agreements entered into would be held in confidence. How wrong could I be?

Specifically, I refer to the agreement dated December 2, 1975, entered into between the directors of Calhoun First National Bank and your office. My name was listed among those named with reference to overdrafts. Following the execution of the agreement I asked the examiner in charge of the examination to look at my checking ledger and to show me where I had been overdrawn during the period in question. He was unable to do so. Yet Sen. Javits was able to ask questions about this on national television.

Secondly, in reviewing the information furnished by you to the Committee on Governmental Affairs, I found copies of my note ledger sheets at the Fulton National Bank (Vol. III A-Ex. 4 pages 7 and 8 of 12), (A-Ex. 5 pages 1, 2 and 3 of 6) and A-Ex. 17, page 5 of 7) reproduced in full and made a part of your report, together with other credit information. Also, loan information of mine at NBG was reported. (Vol. III, A-Ex. 21).

This was and is a flagrant violation of my right of privacy. I was in no way remotely involved in the investigation being conducted by this Committee. Your actions are an open invitation for extortioners and any and all other busy bodies throughout
this country to descend upon me and my family for whatever devious purposes they may desire. I deeply resent this action on your part and hope that all further disclosures by your office in reference to my private and personal business will cease and desist; and that you have some legal defense to what you have already done.

I have presently engaged counsel and if there is any way under the laws of this country that suit may be brought, then you are so advised.

By copy of this letter I am asking Honorable Griffin Bell to advise me of the rights and remedies which might be afforded me under the protection of his office.

Hopefully any action by me personally will not any further adversely affect the Calhoun First National Bank -- although I cannot by the wildest stretch of my imagination think of what else you can do in this regard.

Your prompt answer and explanation of your actions is requested.

Sincerely,

James B. Langford

P.S. Please send me copies of all your reports furnished to the Committee and the press, since neither the Calhoun First National Bank, nor the exposees, including me, were ever extended the courtesy of receiving such reports, but had to obtain excerpts from the press corps, which apparently had more access to information from your office than even the Committee.

cc: President Jimmy Carter
Hon. Griffin Bell
Barry Jagoda
The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Tim Kraft

RE: AUDIO AND VIDEOTAPING SCHEDULE
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOR STAFFING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOR INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FYI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| MONDALE |
| COSTANZA |
| EIZENSTAT |
| JORDAN |
| LIPSHUTZ |
| MOORE |
| POWELL |
| WATSON |
| LANCE |
| SCHULTZE |

| ENROLLED BILL |
| AGENCY REPORT |
| CAB DECISION |
| EXECUTIVE ORDER |

Comments due to Carp/Huron within 48 hours; due to Staff Secretary next day

| ARAGON |
| BOURNE |
| BRZEZINSKI |
| BUTLER |
| CARP |
| H. CARTER |
| CLOUGH |
| FALLOWS |
| FIRST LADY |
| HARDEN |
| HUTCHESON |
| JAGODA |
| KING |

| KRAFT |
| LINDER |
| MITCHELL |
| MOE |
| PETERSON |
| PETTIGREW |
| POSTON |
| PRESS |
| SCHLESINGER |
| SCHNEIDERS |
| STRAUSS |
| VOORDE |
| WARREN |
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BARRY JAGODA

SUBJECT: Audio and Videotaping scheduled for Monday, October 17 - Map Room at 1:30 pm

The attached statements (one for each of the countries on your forthcoming foreign trip) have been approved by State, NSC and your speechwriters. If you have a chance to review and approve them by Monday morning we can get them on the teleprompter in time for your scheduled 1:30 pm taping.

Would you like to try the statement for Venezuela in Spanish? A translation of that one is attached.

Yes [x] No

Also in this packet is a Christmas message to veterans for audiotaping.

# # # #
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
October 14, 1977

Frank Moore

The attached letters were returned in the President's outbox today. They are forwarded to you for delivery.

Rick Hutcheson

RE: LETTER TO CONFEREES ON WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT AMENDMENTS

cc: Stu Eizenstat
MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT
FROM STU EIZENSTAT FRANK MOORE
SUBJECT: Letter to Conferees on Water Pollution Control Act Amendments

Conference deliberations on the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments began on October 4. Two meetings have been held resulting in instructions to staff to develop compromise proposals.

We recommend that you send the attached letters to Senators Randolph and Muskie and Representatives Johnson and Roberts indicating your interest in enactment of this legislation before Congress adjourns. The House and Senate have made two unsuccessful attempts over the last two years to make mid-course changes in the 1972 Water Pollution Control Act. Past conferences have been characterized by bitterness and unwillingness to compromise.

This time around, the Conferees seem anxious to avoid the disputes of the past. The staff of both Committees feel that encouragement from you would help preserve a conciliatory mood as the Conference progresses.

The major issues in the Conference will be application of best available control technology and the extent to which the wetlands protection provision (section 404) should be modified. Compromises are beginning to emerge on these issues, and this letter should help keep the negotiations going in good faith.

Attachments FOUR SIGNATURES NEEDED
To Senator Edmund Muskie

Completion of work on amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act before Congress adjourns this session is a priority which I share with you.

Maintaining the integrity of the 1972 Act, while making the necessary mid-course adjustments, will ensure continued progress toward the important goals which the Act embodies.

I share your interest in developing effective solutions to the several issues now before the Conference. As I emphasized in my Environmental Message to the Congress last May, we must continue to implement the best available means for controlling toxic pollutants and other industrial discharges. In partnership with the states, we must also maintain a strong program for protecting and conserving the Nation's wetlands. Continuation of a substantial program for funding of municipal waste treatment facilities is a critical component of an overall water pollution control program.

I welcome your dedication to resolving these issues, and stand ready, along with other members of my Administration, to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

The Honorable Edmund S. Muskie
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510
To Congressman Ray Roberts

Completion of work on amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act before Congress adjourns this session is a priority which I share with you.

Maintaining the integrity of the 1972 Act, while making the necessary mid-course adjustments, will ensure continued progress toward the important goals which the Act embodies.

I share your interest in developing effective solutions to the several issues now before the Conference. As I emphasized in my Environmental Message to the Congress last May, we must continue to implement the best available means for controlling toxic pollutants and other industrial discharges. In partnership with the states, we must also maintain a strong program for protecting and conserving the Nation's wetlands. Continuation of a substantial program for funding of municipal waste treatment facilities is a critical component of an overall water pollution control program.

I welcome your dedication to resolving these issues, and stand ready, along with other members of my Administration, to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Ray Roberts
U. S. House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515
To Senator Jennings Randolph

Completion of work on amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act before Congress adjourns this session is a priority which I share with you.

Maintaining the integrity of the 1972 Act, while making the necessary mid-course adjustments, will ensure continued progress toward the important goals which the Act embodies.

I share your interest in developing effective solutions to the several issues now before the Conference. As I emphasized in my Environmental Message to the Congress last May, we must continue to implement the best available means for controlling toxic pollutants and other industrial discharges. In partnership with the states, we must also maintain a strong program for protecting and conserving the Nation's wetlands. Continuation of a substantial program for funding of municipal waste treatment facilities is a critical component of an overall water pollution control program.

I welcome your dedication to resolving these issues, and stand ready, along with other members of my Administration, to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Jennings Randolph
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510
To Congressman Harold Johnson

Completion of work on amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act before Congress adjourns this session is a priority which I share with you.

Maintaining the integrity of the 1972 Act, while making the necessary mid-course adjustments, will ensure continued progress toward the important goals which the Act embodies.

I share your interest in developing effective solutions to the several issues now before the Conference. As I emphasized in my Environmental Message to the Congress last May, we must continue to implement the best available means for controlling toxic pollutants and other industrial discharges. In partnership with the states, we must also maintain a strong program for protecting and conserving the Nation's wetlands. Continuation of a substantial program for funding of municipal waste treatment facilities is a critical component of an overall water pollution control program.

I welcome your dedication to resolving these issues, and stand ready, along with other members of my Administration, to assist you in any way possible.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Harold T. Johnson
U. S. House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515